Association of Risk Factors with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: Era's Need

Harvir Singh Sodhi¹, Bayazuddin Mohammed^{2*}

¹Professor, ^{2*}Associate Professor, Department of Physiology, Saraswati Medical College, Unnao, Uttar Pradesh, India.

ABSTRACT

Background: One of the commonly associated metabolic disorders in the world is diabetes mellitus. It is prevalent amongst majority of areas of the world and amongst subjects of different demographics. The present study was aimed at finding the risk factors associated with subjects having type 2 diabetes mellitus.

Materials and Methods: The present prospective study was conducted in the Department of Physiology, Saraswati Medical College, Unnao, Uttar Pradesh (India). Blood glucose values of more than 7mmol/L was regarded as diabetes. It was a questionnaire based study. Subject's medical history, family history and general condition were assessed to determine the health condition. Student t test was used to compare the results. Probability value of less than 0.05 was considered as significant.

Results: There were 31 cases (14.1%) and 42 controls (21%) that were less than 40 years of age. There were 48 cases (22.3%) and 62 controls (31%) that were 41-55 years of age. There were 79 cases (35.9%) and 48 controls (24%) that were 56-70 years of age. The mean LDL amongst cases and controls was 2.70±0.11 and 2.31±0.05 respectively. There was a significant difference in cholesterol level amongst cases and

controls. The mean triglyceride level amongst cases was 1.67 ± 0.06 and controls were 1.38 ± 0.04 .

Conclusion: Presence of family history of diabetes, sedentary lifestyle with lack of physical activity, increase body mass index and blood pressure are significant risk factors associated with diabetes. Also from our study it is seen that increased level of triglyceride and cholesterol are associated with risk or diabetes too.

Keywords: Diabetes, Cholesterol, Triglyceride.

*Correspondence to:

Dr. Bayazuddin Mohammed,

Associate Professor, Department of Physiology, Saraswati Medical College, Unnao, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Article History:

Received: 23-10-2017, Revised: 09-11-2017, Accepted: 28-11-2017

| recorred. 20 to 2011, Nevided. 65 11 2011, Nedepted. 25 11 2011 | | | | |
|---|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Access this article online | | | | |
| Website: www.ijmrp.com | Quick Response code | | | |
| DOI: 10.21276/ijmrp.2017.3.6.040 | | | | |

INTRODUCTION

One of the commonly associated metabolic disorders in the world is diabetes mellitus. It is prevalent amongst majority of areas of the world and amongst subjects of different demographics. By the year 2030, it has been studied that about 400 million subjects would be affected by this metabolic condition.1 According to an Algerian survey there were more than 12.29%% aging between 35-70 years having type 2 diabetes mellitus.² There is variation amongst the rural and urban subgroups that are affected by diabetes. Insulin resistance in type 2 diabetes mellitus is due to various genetic abnormalities^{3,4} and due to acquired factors. Presence of family history of diabetes mellitus plays a significant role in its causation.5 Genetic susceptibility has also been observed in certain population groups. 6-8 Risk factors like obesity, lack of physical activity and smoking also play a role in causing it.9 There have been few evidences regarding its association with low socioeconomic groups. 10,11 The fast evolving changes in lifestyle lead to alteration in the metabolism and cause an increase in the number of diabetic subjects. 12 According to a survey amongst native American's, majority of the subjects having sedentary

lifestyle are diabetic. Because of rapid urbanisation, there is increase in the incidence and prevalence of lifestyle diseases like obesity and diabetes. Development of various public health policies focus more on the lifestyle intervention associated as a risk factor for type 2 diabetes and also focus on the identification of these risk factors. 13,14 In the recent years, all the age groups including the middle age and old age are found to be affected by type 2 diabetes. There is an urgent need to study the risk of diabetes amongst all the age groups. 15-18 The present study was aimed at finding the risk factors associated with subjects having type 2 diabetes mellitus.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present prospective study was conducted in the Department of Physiology, Saraswati Medical College, Unnao, Uttar Pradesh (India). The study was approved by the Institutional ethical committee and a written informed consent was obtained from all the subjects prior to initiation of the study. The consent was obtained in their vernacular language. The study was divided into

cases and controls. The classification of subjects as diabetic and non-diabetic was done based on the American diabetes association criteria. Blood glucose values of more than 7mmol/L was regarded as diabetes. It was a questionnaire based study. Subject's medical history, family history and general condition were assessed to determine the health condition. The questionnaire was divided into three parts- the first part had questions about demographics, the second one had information related to their lifestyle habits. Patient's body mass index was evaluated using the standard formula. BMI less than 25 kg/m² were regarded as normal and more than 30 Kg/m²was considered as obese. Patients were instructed to wear light clothes to obtain

their weight. Waist circumference was measured using a measuring tape. Every subject's blood pressure was noted. Blood pressure reading of more than 130/90 mm Hg was considered as hypertensive.

Laboratory investigations of the subjects were put into third part of the questionnaire. Patient's fasting blood sugar was estimated using glucose oxidase method. Triglyceride levels were obtained using Calorimetric enzymatic method. All the data obtained was arranged in a tabulated form. The results were expressed as mean +/- Standard deviation. Student t test was used to compare the results. Probability value of less than 0.05 was considered as significant.

Table 1: Demographic and physical variables related to the study and control population.

| VARIABLE | | Cases (N/%) | Controls (N/%) | P Value |
|-----------------------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|---------|
| Age group | <40 | 31/14.1% | 42/21% | >0.05 |
| | 41-55 | 48/22.3% | 62/31% | |
| | 56-70 | 79/35.9% | 48/24% | |
| | >70 | 62/28.2% | 48/24% | |
| Gender | Male | 81/36.8% | 74/37% | >0.05 |
| | Female | 139/63.2% | 126/63% | |
| Marital status | Married | 200/90.9% | 192/96% | >0.05 |
| | Unmarried | 20/9.1% | 8/4% | |
| Residence | Rural | 79/35.9% | 72/36% | |
| | Urban | 141/64.1% | 128/64% | |
| Physical activity | Yes | 13/5.9% | 32/16% | < 0.05 |
| | No | 207/94.1% | 168/84% | |
| Smoking | Smoker | 24/10.9% | 14/7% | >0.05 |
| - | Non smoker | 196/89.1% | 186/93% | |
| Dietary intake | Follow up | 56/24.5% | 36/18% | >0.05 |
| | Average | 91/41.4% | 68/34% | |
| | Not followed | 73/33.2% | 96/48% | |
| ВМІ | Normal | 59/26.8% | 122/61% | < 0.05 |
| | Overweight | 78/35.5% | 40/20% | |
| | Obese | 83/37.7% | 38/19% | |
| Waist circumference (males) | <102 | 77/35% | 158/79% | < 0.05 |
| | >102 | 143/65% | 42/21% | |
| | <88 | 11/5% | 58/29% | <0.05 |
| | >88 | 209/95% | 62/31% | |
| Family history of DM | Yes | 163/74.1% | 120/60% | < 0.05 |
| | No | 57/25.9% | 80/40% | |
| Hypertension | Yes | 104/47.3% | 36/18% | < 0.05 |
| | No | 116/52.7% | 164/82% | |

Table 2: Laboratory investigations amongst study subjects.

| | Cases | Controls | P Value |
|-----------|------------------------|---|--|
| | 28.65±0.44 | 25.40±0.29 | <0.05 |
| Total | 4.50±0.12 | 4.10±0.05 | <0.05 |
| HDL | 1.05±0.03 | 1.10±0.03 | <0.05 |
| LDL | 2.70±0.11 | 2.31±0.05 | <0.05 |
| | 1.67±0.06 | 1.38±0.04 | <0.05 |
| | 11.32±0.29 | 8.16±0.34 | <0.05 |
| Systolic | 135.07±1.25 | 119.50±0.87 | <0.05 |
| Diastolic | 81.52±0.64 | 73.71±0.64 | < 0.05 |
| | HDL LDL Systolic | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |

RESULTS

In the present study a total of 420 subjects (220 diabetics and 200 non diabetics) were enrolled aged between 38-79 years. Table 1 illustrates the demographics and other variables studied in this population. There were 31 cases (14.1%) and 42 controls (21%) that were less than 40 years of age. There were 48 cases (22.3%) and 62 controls (31%) that were 41-55 years of age. There were 79 cases (35.9%) and 48 controls (24%) that were 56-70 years of age. There were 62 cases (28.2%) and 48 controls (24%) that were more than 70 years of age. There was no significant difference in the age group amongst the cases and controls. There were 81 males and 139 females in the case group. 74 males and 126 females were there in control group. Gender difference was insignificant between the two groups. Majority of the subjects i.e. 90.9% in cases and 96% in controls were married. There were 35.9% cases and 36% controls who resided in rural area. There were 64.1% cases and 64% controls who resided in urban areas. There was no significant difference between the groups. There were 5.9% cases who adopted for regular physical activity and 84% cases didn't have any physical activity. Amongst the controls 16% subjects had daily physical activity. There was a significant difference between the groups. There were 10.9% smokers amongst cases and 7% smokers amongst controls. There was a significant difference in the body mass index amongst the cases and controls. Amongst cases, 26.8% were normal, 35.5% were overweight and 37.7% were obese. Amongst controls. 61% were normal. 20% were overweight and 19% were obese. The waist circumference significantly differed amongst cases and controls, both in males and females. It was more than 102 cm in 65% male cases and 21% male controls. It was more than 88 cm amongst 95% female cases and 31% female controls. There was a family history of diabetes amongst 74.1% cases and 60% controls. Hypertension was seen in 47.3% cases and 18% controls. There was a significant difference in family history and hypertensive amongst both cases and controls. Table 2 shows the biochemical and laboratory investigations that were performed in our study. The mean body mass index amongst cases was 28.65±0.44 and controls were 25.40±0.29. There was a significant difference in the body mass index amongst cases and controls as p value was less than 0.05. The mean total cholesterol amongst cases and controls was 4.50±0.12 and 4.10±0.05 respectively. The mean HDL amongst cases and controls was 1.05±0.03 and 1.10±0.03 respectively. The mean LDL amongst cases and controls was 2.70±0.11 and 2.31±0.05 respectively. There was a significant difference in cholesterol level amongst cases and controls. The mean triglyceride level amongst cases was 1.67±0.06 and controls were 1.38±0.04. The p value was more than 0.05. The mean serum glucose amongst cases and controls was 11.32±0.29 & 8.16±0.34 respectively. The mean systolic and diastolic pressure amongst cases was 135.07±1.25 & 81.52±0.64. It was 119.50±0.87 and 73.71±0.64 amongst the controls. There was a significant difference amongst cases and controls.

DISCUSSION

Various risk factors are associated with diabetes. There has been association of diabetes with socioeconomic status. Risk factors like obesity, smoking and lack of physical activity are generally associated with low socio economic status. 19 There is also a risk

of vascular diseases associated with lower socioeconomic status. 20,21 Therefore lower socio economic strata subjects are likely to be associated with a higher risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus. However there have not been much studies to prove this relation.²² According to a study conducted amongst nine towns of England has shown an inverse relation between the incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus and the influence of town.23 With urbanisation of lifestyle there is change in the dietary habits that includes increase in the consumption of refined carbohydrates and saturated fats, reducing the intake of fibre.24 It is also associated with reduced amount of physical activity. 25,26 In our study, there were 31 cases (14.1%) and 42 controls (21%) that were less than 40 years of age. There were 48 cases (22.3%) and 62 controls (31%) that were 41-55 years of age. There were 79 cases (35.9%) and 48 controls (24%) that were 56-70 years of age. There were 62 cases (28.2%) and 48 controls (24%) that were more than 70 years of age. There was no significant difference in the age group amongst the cases and controls. There were 81 males and 139 females in the case group. 74 males and 126 females were there in control group. Gender difference was insignificant between the two groups. Majority of the subjects i.e. 90.9% in cases and 96% in controls were married. There were 35.9% cases and 36% controls who resided in rural area. There were 64.1% cases and 64% controls who resided in urban areas. There was no significant difference between the groups. There were 5.9% cases who adopted for regular physical activity and 84% cases didn't have any physical activity. Amongst the controls 16% subjects had daily physical activity. There was a significant difference between the groups. There were 10.9% smokers amongst cases and 7%smokers amongst controls. There was a significant difference in the body mass index amongst the cases and controls. Amongst cases, 26.8% were normal, 35.5% were overweight and 37.7% were obese. In rural areas the chief mode of transportation is and they often indulge in intense physical activity through agricultural work.27 There is a high rate of physical activity amongst the rural population as compared to the urban subgroups.²⁸ Thus the incidence of diabetes is comparatively higher amongst urban compared to rural subjects.26,28

In our study, there was a significant difference in the body mass index amongst cases and controls as p value was less than 0.05. The mean total cholesterol amongst cases and controls was 4.50±0.12 and 4.10±0.05 respectively. The mean HDL amongst cases and controls was 1.05±0.03 and 1.10±0.03 respectively. The mean LDL amongst cases and controls was 2.70±0.11 and 2.31±0.05 respectively. There was a significant difference in cholesterol level amongst cases and controls. The mean triglyceride level amongst cases was 1.67±0.06 and controls were 1.38±0.04. The p value came out to be more than 0.05. The mean serum glucose amongst cases and controls was 11.32±0.29 and 8.16±0.34 respectively. The mean systolic and diastolic pressure amongst cases was 135.07±1.25 and 81.52±0.64. It was 119.50±0.87 and 73.71±0.64 amongst the controls. There was a significant difference amongst cases and controls. There was a significant difference in family history and hypertensive amongst both cases and controls. A study conducted in the Indian population has shown that central and general obesity were associated with the family history of type 2 diabetes mellitus.29 A family history of diabetes is also associated with increased risk of hypertension as both are associated with body mass index.30

CONCLUSION

Presence of family history of diabetes, sedentary lifestyle with lack of physical activity, increase body mass index and blood pressure are significant risk factors associated with diabetes. Also from our study it is seen that increased level of triglyceride and cholesterol are associated with risk or diabetes too. Timely intervention is necessary to prevent this disease from reaching a grave level.

REFERENCES

- 1. Shaw JE, Sicree RA, Zimmet PZ (2010) Global estimates of the prevalence of diabetes for 2010 and 2030. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 87: 4–14.
- National Institute of Public Health. Project TAHINA (Epidemiological transition and health impact in North Africa). National Health Survey 2005 (Contract N° IC A3-CT-2002-10011).
 Van Tiburg J, Van Hacften TW, Pearson P, Wijmenga C (2001)
- 3. Van Tiburg J, Van Hactten TW, Pearson P, Wijmenga C (2001)
 Defining the genetic contribution of type 2 diabetes mellitus. J Med
 Genet 38: 569-578.
- 4. Bush CP, Hegele RA (2001) Genetic determinants of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Clin Genet 60: 243-254.
- 5. Van Dam RM, Boer JM, Feskens EJ, Scidell JC (2001) Parental history of diabetes modifies the association between abdominal adiposity and hyperglycemia. Diabetes Care 24: 1454-1459.
- 6. Lantz PM, House JS et al. (1998) Socioeconomic factors, health behaviors, and mortality. JAMA 279: 1703-1708.
- 7. Boullu-Sanchis S et al. (1999) Type 2 diabetes mellitus association study of five candidate genes in an Indian population of Guadeloupe, genetic contribution of FABP2 polymorphism. Diabetes Metab 25:150-156.
- 8. Dugoujon JM, Guitard F et al. (2000) Genetic markers of immunoglobulinsand diabetes mellitus in the multiracial population of New Caledonia. The CALDIA Study Group. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 47: 209-215.
- 9. Wagenknecht LE, Perkins LL, Cutter GR, Sidney S, Burke GL, et al. (1990) Cigarette smoking is strongly related to educational status: the CARDIA Study. Prev Med 19: 158-169.
- 10. Popkin BM (2001) Nutrition in transition: the changing global nutrition challenge. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr 10: 13–18.
- 11. Krosnick A (2000) The diabetes and obesity epidemic among the Pima Indians. N J Med 97:31-37.
- 12. Abu-Saad K, Weitzman S et al. (2001) Rapid lifestyle, diet and health changes among urban Bedouin Arabs of southern Israel. FAO: Food, Nutrition and Agriculture 28: 45–54.
- 13. Sociedade Brasileira de Diabetes. Cuidados de Enfermagem em Diabetes Mellitus. Manual de Enfermagem. São Paulo: Departamento de Enfermagem da Sociedade Brasileira de Diabetes; 2009. 171 p.
- 14. American Diabetes Association. Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes 2011. Diabetes Care 2011;34(Suppl):11-61.
- 15. Marinho NBP, Vasconcelos HCA, Alencar AMPG, Almeida PC, Damasceno MMC. Diabetes mellitus: fatores associados entre usuários da estratégia saúde da família. Acta Paul Enferm. 2012;25(4):595-600.
- 16. Xu H, Song Y, You NC et al. Prevalence and clustering of metabolic risk factors for type 2 diabetes among Chinese adults in Shanghai, china. BMC Public Health. 2010;10:683-90.

- 17. Lipman TH, Schucker MM, Ratcliffe SJ, Holmberg TBA, Baier SBA, Deatrick JA. Diabetes risk factors in Children: a partnership between nursig practioner and high school students. MCN Am J Matern Child Nurs. 2011; 36(1):56-62.
- 18. Barrett SC, Huffman FG, Johnson P, Campa A, Magnus M, Ragoobirsingh D. A cross-sectional study of Jamaican adolescents' risk for type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular diseases. BMJ Open. 2013;3(7):1-9.
- 19. Joint Health Surveys Unit. Health Survey for England. (1994) Vol I and II. London: HMSO Series HS n° 4.
- 20. Winkleby MA, Fortmann SP, Barrett DC (1990) Social class disparities in risk factors for disease: eight year prevalence patterns by level of education. Prev Med 19: 1–12.
- 21. Yahia-Berrouiguet A, Benyoucef M, Meguenni K, Brouri M (2009) Prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors: A survey at Tlemcen (Algeria) (in french). Medecine des Maladies Metaboliques 3: 313-319.
- 22. ConnollyV, Unwin N, Sherriff P, Bilous R, Kelly W (2000) Diabetes prevalence and socioeconomic status: a population based study showing increased prevalence of type 2 diabetes mellitus in deprived areas. J Epidemiol Community Health 54: 173-177.
- 23. Barker DJP, Gardner MJ, Power C (1982) Incidence of diabetes amongst people aged 18–50 years in nine British towns: a collaborative study. Diabetologia 22: 421-425.
- 24. Mennen LI, Mbanya JC et al. (2000) The habitual diet in rural and urban Cameron. Eur J Clin Nutr 54:150-154.
- 25. Sobngwi E et al. 2001 Diabetes in Africans. Part 1: epidemiology and Clinical Specificities. Diabetes Metab27:628-34.
- 26. Malek R (2008) Epidémiology of diabetes in Algeria: review of data, Analysis and perspectives (in french). Médecine des Maladies Métaboliques 2: 298-302.
- 27. Alemu T and Lindtjorn B (1995) Physical activity, illness and nutritional status among adults in a rural Ethiopian community. Int J Epidemiol 24: 977-983.
- 28. Heini A, Schutz Y et al.(1991) Free-living energy expenditure measured by two independent techniques in pregnant and non pregnant Gambian women. Am J Physiol 261: 9-17.
- 29. Habib SS, Aslam M (2004) Lipids and lipoprotein concentrations in Pakistani patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Obes Metab 6: 338-343.
- 30. Bener A, Al-Suwaidi J, Al-Jaber K, Al-Marri S, Elbagi IE (2004) Epidemiology ofhypertension and its associated risk factors in the Qatari population. J Hum Hypertens 18: 529-530.

Source of Support: Nil. Conflict of Interest: None Declared.

Copyright: © the author(s) and publisher. IJMRP is an official publication of Ibn Sina Academy of Medieval Medicine & Sciences, registered in 2001 under Indian Trusts Act, 1882. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Cite this article as: Harvir Singh Sodhi, Bayazuddin Mohammed. Association of Risk Factors with Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: Era's Need. Int J Med Res Prof. 2017 Nov; 3(6):203-06. DOI:10.21276/ijmrp.2017.3.6.040