

A Comparative Analysis on Phenomenology of OCD in Children and Adult in a Hospital Based Population

Bobby Hmar^{1*}, Deepanjali Medhi², Angshuman Kalita³, Dipesh Bhagabati⁴

¹Assistant Professor, ²Associate Professor, ⁴Professor,

Department of Psychiatry, Gauhati Medical College Hospital, Guwahati, Assam, India. ³Senior Resident, LGB Regional Institute of Mental Health; Tezpur, Assam, India.

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Obsessive Compulsive Disorder (OCD) is one of the common psychiatric illnesses in contrast to earlier concept of this illness. Majority of the cases are childhood onset but adult onset OCD also found to be frequently presented in the out patient department of psychiatry. So any difference in the phenomenology of OCD between children and adult onset group is not known in this part of North Eastern State of India.

Aim of the study: To compare the phenomenology of OCD in children and adult onset OCD.

Method & Material: A cross–sectional study included 20 children (<18yrs) and 50 adults (>18yrs) with OCD as per Diagnostic and Statistical manual of Mental disorders (DSM-5) criteria after obtaining full informed consent. To assess the severity of OCD, 10 items Yale Brown Obsessive Compulsive (YBOC) scale was administered for both adult and children after administering the Y BOCS symptoms checklist. For data analysis chi square and student t test has been used. ρ value <0.05 has been taken as test of significance in the study.

Result: The study has found significant phenomenological difference between children and adult onset OCD in symmetry ($\rho = 0.02$) and religious obsession ($\rho = 0.01$). Adult onset were

significantly had more checking compulsions than the children group (ρ =.002). YBOCS mean scores was higher in children than adult onset group.

Conclusion: This study explores phenomenology of OCD in adult and children and phenomenological difference observed in both the groups. The OCD phenomena in our study have similarity with the OCD of various cultures in the world.

Key Words: OCD, Phenomenology, North East India.

*Correspondence to:

Dr. Bobby Hmar, Assistant Professor, Department of Psychiatry, Guwahati Medical College and Hospital, Guwahati, Assam, India.

Article History:

Received: 17-10-2016, Revised: 01-11-2016, Accepted: 19-11-2016

Access this article online		
Website: www.ijmrp.com	Quick Response code	
DOI: 10.21276/ijmrp.2016.2.6.007		

INTRODUCTION

Obsessive compulsive disorder is a chronic, disabling disorder with waxing and waning symptoms aggravated by stress and some may have episodic course. It is characterized by the presence of either obsession or compulsions and its prevalence is internationally 1.1-1.8%.¹ In India life time prevalence of OCD is 0.6%.² Obsessive compulsive disorders is found to be common in children and adolescent age group. More than 80% of individuals have the onset of their symptoms before the age 18 years.³ Study on OCD in children had been reported from India, and OCD was found more in male than female children.⁴ The age at onset of OCD symptoms is defined as the earliest age of OCS. Various studies have defined the early onset OCD as beginning of symptoms before 11 years of age, whereas late onset was defined as beginning of symptoms at or after 18 years.^{5,6} Regarding phenomenology of OCD in adult populations has been conducted by different researchers in India. The first study on OCD was

conducted by Dutta Ray in 1964.⁷ Comparison of phenomenology of juvenile OCD was done with adult onset OCD, by Jaisoorya et al.⁸ and similar finding has been reported in young patients with OCD at National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) in USA.⁹ Psychiatric comorbidity is common in both children and adult with OCD.

Common comorbid psychiatric disorders are Major depressive disorder, Anxiety disorder, and Tic disorder.¹⁰ The Persons with Disabilities (Equal Opportunities, Protection of rights & Full Participation) Act 1995 included mental illness one of the disabilities in India. OCD has been included one of the disability mental illness eligible for disability benefits.¹¹ Several studies have been done in this area in other part of our country as well as other part of the world. The present study will be first of its kind in its institution and our hypothesis is, there is no difference in the phenomenology of OCD in children and adult onset population.

AIM AND OBJECTIVES

1. To assess phenomenology of OCD in children and adult population

2. To compare the phenomenology of OCD in children and adult population

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Place of study: The cross sectional study was conducted with 20 children and 50 adult onset OCD as per DSM -5 diagnostic criteria in the Department of Psychiatry, Gauhati Medical College and Hospital for the period of one year (year 2015). It is a tertiary care Institute receiving patient from entire north east India.

Inclusion criteria: Both male and female children and adult diagnosed with OCD as per Diagnostic and Statistical manual of Mental disorders (DSM-5) criteria. Children in this study are defined those who do not completed 18 years at the time of enrollment in the study. Adult population are defined in our study to those, had onset of obsessive compulsive symptoms after 18 years (>18) .Patient having past treatment history for OCD, recently relapse of OCD symptoms due to discontinuation of medication were also included in the study.

Exclusion criteria: History of epilepsy, substance abuse. Adult OCD with history of childhood onset.

Description of Tools

1. Diagnostic and Statistical manual of Mental disorders (DSM-5) Criteria.¹

2. Socio demographic and clinical data proforma.

3. Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale (YBOCS): This scale has been used to assess severity of OCD symptoms .It is a clinician administered instrument. The severity scale has 10 items, five each for obsession and compulsions. The maximum total score is 40 .Total score above 15 is considered to be clinical OCD.¹²

4. Children Yale Brown obsessive compulsive scale (C-YBOCS): This is a child version of obsessive compulsive scale. This is a 10 item scale. This scale has been used in Indian study in juvenile group to compare with adult OCD patient⁸ and internal consistency has been very high 0.87 for the 10 items.¹³

Method of assessment: Adult subjects having OCD symptom attending outpatient department of psychiatry were recruited for the study. Similarly children subjects recruited from the Child Guidance Clinic as per selection criteria. Diagnosis was done by senior psychiatrist.

Informed consent for the study participation was taken from all participants and also from parents of children participants. For study participants privacy of interview and confidentiality was strictly maintained. For both group parents and family members are also interviewed. YBOCS symptom checklist has been administered in both children and adult participants. Severity of OCD was assessed with 10 item YBOCS scale in case of adult patient and in case of children 10 item CYBOCS scale has been administered. The study was approved by the Institutional Ethical Committee.

Variable	Sub Group	Adult (50)	Children (20)	
Mean Age		30.4 SD 7.8	14.2 SD 2.5	
Sex	Male	30(60%)	14 (70%)	
	Female	20(40%)	6 (30%)	
	Hindu	37(74%)	17 (85%)	
	Muslim	11(22%)	3 (15%)	
Religion	Sikh	1 (2%)	0 (0%)	
	Christian	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
	Nuclear	29(58%)	13 (65%)	
Family	Joint	15(30%)	6 (30%)	
	Extended	6(12%)	1 (5%)	
Landle	Rural	19(38%)	9 (45%)	
Locality	Urban	31(62%)	11 (55%)	
	Illiterate	3(6%)	0 (0%)	
	Primary	1(2%)	3 (15%)	
Educational Status	Middle	4(8%)	10 (50%)	
	High	0 (0%)	7 (35%)	
	Higher	14(28%)	0 (0%)	
Marital status	Graduate	28(56%)	0 (0%)	
	Married	18(36%)	0 (0%)	
	Unmarried	32(64%)	0 (0%)	
	Student	11(22%)	20 (100%)	
	Employed	17(34%)	0 (0%)	
	Unemployed	22(44%)	0 (0%)	
Occuration	Lower middle	8(16%)	7 (35%)	
Occupation	Middle	19(38%)	9 (45%)	
	Upper middle	9(18%)	2 (10%)	
	High	1(2%)	0 (0%)	

Table 1: Sociodemographic Variables Of Adult & Children With OCD

SD=Standard Deviation

	Adult (50)		Children (20)		Chi square	p Value
Obsession	Frequency	%	Frequency	%	_	
Contamination	25	50	8	40	0.57	0.45 n
Aggressive obsession	21	42	7	35	0.29	0.59 n
Somatic obsession	5	10	1	5	0.46	0.50 n
Sexual obsession	15	30	4	20	0.72	0.40 n
Hoarding (Saving)	6	12	1	5	0.78	0.38 n
Magical (Miscellaneous)	11	22	2	10	1.36	0.24 n
Symmetry	11	22	0	0	5.22	0.02 s
Religious obsession	13	26	0	0	6.39	0.01 s
Compulsion						
Cleaning/Washing	27	54	10	50	0.09	0.76 <i>n</i>
Checking	22	44	1	5	9.85	0.002 s
Repeating compulsion	14	28	5	25	0.07	0.80 n
Counting	13	26	2	10	2.17	0.14 <i>n</i>
Ordering/Arranging	11	22	1	5	2.91	0.09 <i>n</i>
Hoarding	6	12	1	5	0.78	0.38 n
Miscellaneous	6	12	2	10	0.06	0.81 <i>n</i>

Table 2: Comparison of Obsessions and Compulsions of Adult & Children

n= not significant, s=significant

Table 3: Comparison of YBOCS mean score of Adult and Children					
Adult	Children	ρ value			
11.2 ± 1.9	13.4 ± 3.1	0.0067 s			
10.0 ± 3.4	11.1 ± 4.6	0.341 <i>n</i>			
22.02 ± 4.9	24.5 ± 4.6	0.0529 n			
	Adult 11.2 ± 1.9 10.0 ± 3.4	Adult Children 11.2 ± 1.9 13.4 ± 3.1 10.0 ± 3.4 11.1 ± 4.6			

n= not significant, s=significant

Data Analysis: For comparison of numerical data of both the group independent t test has been used. And for assessment of categorical variables in children and adult chi square test has been used. P< 0.05 has been taken as a test of significant in this study.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the socio demographic data of patients with adult and children OCD. Mean age of adult sample was 30.2 ± 7.7 years. No of male sample was 30 (60%) and female sample was 20 (40%). Mean age of the children with OCD sample was 14.2 ± 2.2 years. Total no of male children was 14 (70%) and female was 6 (30%) in the sample.

Table 2 represent the frequencies of the obsessive and compulsive symptoms in both adult (no= 50) and children (no=20) as per YBOCS and CYBOCS respectively. Various obsessive phenomena were compared between the groups the difference was found statistically significant in case of symmetry (ρ = 0 .02) and religious obsession (ρ = 0.01) between adult and children. On compulsive symptoms 5% children and 44% of adult had checking compulsions. On statistical evaluation significant difference was found in checking compulsion (ρ =0.002) between the group.

Table 3 Compared the mean scores of YBOCS in both the children and adult group. In adult group obsessive compulsive symptom severity score was found to be lower than the children group. The difference was statistically not significant (ρ =0.0529). The Y BOCS obsession mean scores was higher in children than adult group and statistically found to be significant p =0.0067.

DISCUSSION

The aim of our study is to compare the phenomenology of OCD between children and adult onset group. The phenomenology of children in our study is almost similar with previous Indian study¹⁴ and study done by Swedo et al.⁹ Riddle et al.¹⁵ studied the current and past obsessive and compulsive symptoms in 21 children and findings were similar with our study. But in our study none of the children reported obsession of symmetry and religious obsession. The phenomenology of OCD in our adult population is in keeping with the findings of previous Indian studies¹⁶⁻¹⁸ and western study.¹⁹

We have compared the phenomenology of adult and children with OCD to see any difference in the phenomenology of adult onset OCD and children with OCD, and found that in obsession, there is statistically significant difference in symmetry (p=0.02) and religious obsession (0.01). In children group we do not found symmetry and religious obsession. When both the groups are compared for compulsions there is statistically significant difference has been found in checking compulsion (p=0.002). Our finding is not accordance with findings of one study, in that study compulsion related to checking is more common in children group in comparison to adult onset OCD.8 We do not found any significant difference between the groups in other obsession and compulsion symptoms. It may be due to small sample size and in this group three children had only obsessions without compulsion. Rosario Compos reported no significant differences were found between early onset <18 years and late onset >18 years group.⁵ A retrospective study had reported that obsessions were more frequent in children and compulsions were more frequent in adult with OCD.²⁰

The mean Y-BOCS score was compared in children and adult group and no statistically differences were found in our study but mean Y-BOCS score was higher in children with OCD group than the adult onset group as reported by previous study.⁵ But another study has found statistically significant difference in Y-BOCS mean scores between adult onset OCD and children with OCD. The mean scores in children group were higher than the adult onset group.⁸ From this study it is evident that in this region of our country, children also have more severity of obsessive compulsive symptoms than adult population.

Strengths of the Study: Although the sample size of children is small but this is the first study to explore phenomenology of OCD in the North East region of India. In this tertiary care Institute we do not have specialized OCD clinic for the patient and all the patients were self-referred to the psychiatry outpatient department and can be considered them as representative sample of general population of North Eastern region.

CONCLUSION

Our study has found phenomenological difference between children and adult onset OCD patient and severity of Y BOCS score was higher in children with OCD than adult group although it was statistically not significant. Higher YBOCS score indicating more severity of OCD phenomena and may impaired academic years as well as other developmental areas in these populations. A follow up study will determine the extent of impairment due to the severity of OCD phenomena. Our findings are similar with the results of the other part of our country as well as the world.

REFERENCES

1. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, fifth edition, DSM -5 American Psychiatric Association. Indian Edition-2013; Published by CBS Publisher & Distributors Pvt .Ltd. Page No. 239.

2. Khanna S, Gururaj G, Sriram TG. Epidemiology of obsessivecompulsive disorder in India. Presented at the first International Obsessive Compulsive Disorder Congress, Capri:1993, Mar 9-12.

3. Riddle M (1998) Obsessive Compulsive Disorder in children and adolescents. Br J. Psychiatry (suppl): 91-6.

4. Reddy PS, Reddy YC, Srinath S,khanna S, Sheshadri SP, Girimaji SR .A family study of juvenile OCD. Can J. Psychiatry 2001;46:346-51.

5. Rosario-campos MC, Leckman JF, Mercedente MT et al. Adult with early onset OCD. Am J Psychiatry.2001;158:1899-1903.

6. De Mathis MA, do Rosario MC, Diniz JB, et al. OCD influence of age at onset on comorbidity patterns. Eur Psychiatry .2008:23:187-194.

7. Dutta Ray S. Obsessional state observed in New Delhi. Br. J. Psychiatry 1964;110:181-2.

8. Jaisoorya TS, Janardan Reddy YC, Srinath S. Is Juvenile Obsessive Compulsive Disorder a developmental subtype of the disorder? Findings from Indian study. Euro child Adolescence psychiatry 2003:12:290-7.

9. Swedo S, Rapoport J, Leonard H, Lenane M, Cheslow D. OCD in chidren and adolscents clinical phenomenology of 70 consecutive cases. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1989;46:335-47.

10. Reddy YC, Srinath S, Prakash HM, Girimaji SC, Sheshadri SP, Khanna S et al. Comorbidity in juvenile obsessive compulsive disorder: a report from India. Can J Psychiatry 2000;45:274-8.

11. Indian Research in Psychiatry: A journey of six decades. Editor: T. S. Sathyanarayana Rao, The Rehabilitation Committee of the Indian Psychiatric Society. IDEAS (Indian Disability Evaluation and Assessment Scale)-A scale for measuring and quantifying disability in mental disorder. Indian Psychiatric Society. 2002. Content No. 46 Disabilities research in India Page No. 595.

12. Goodman W, Price L, Rasmussan SA et al. The Yale–Brown Obsessive-Compulsive Scale. 1 Development, use, and reliability. Archives of General P sychiatry 1989;46:1006-1011.

13. Scahill, Riddle MA, Mc Swiggin- Hardin M, Ort SI ,King RA, Goodman WK ,et al . Children s Yale-Brown Obsessive – Compulsive Scale: Reliability and Validity. J Am Acad Child Adolsce Psychiatry 1997:36:844-52.

14. Reddy YC, Srinath S, Prakash HM, Girimaji SC, Sheshadri SP, Khanna S et al. A follow up study of juvenile obsessive compulsive disorder from India. A Psychiatr Scand 2003:107:457-64.

15. Riddle MA, Scahill L, King R, Hardin MT, Towbin KE, Ort SI, Leckman JF & Cohen DJ (1990b). Obsessive compulsive disorder in children and adolescents: Phenomenology and family history. Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 29,766-772.

16. Jaisoorya TS, Janardhan Reddy YC, Srinath S. The relationship of obsessive-compulsive disorder to putative spectrum disorders: Results from an Indian study. Compr Psychiatry 2003;44:317-23.

17. Ravi Kishore V, Samar R, Janardhan Reddy YC, Chandrasekhar CR, Thennarasu K. Clinical characteristics and treatment response in good and poor insight obsessive-compulsive disorder. Eur Psychiatry 2004;19:202-8.

18. Kamath P, Janardhan Reddy YC, Thennarasu K. Suicidal behavior in obsessive-compulsive disorder. J Clin Psychiatry 2007;68:1741-50.

19. Rasmussen SA, Eisen JL. Clinical features and phenomenology of obsessive compulsive disorder. Psychiatr Ann 1989;19:67-73.

20. Khanna S, Rajendra PN, Karur BV et al. Interrater reliability of a classification of Obsession and Compulsions. Psychopathology 1987:20:29-33.

Source of Support: Nil. Conflict of Interest: None Declared.

Copyright: © the author(s) and publisher. IJMRP is an official publication of Ibn Sina Academy of Medieval Medicine & Sciences, registered in 2001 under Indian Trusts Act, 1882.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Cite this article as: Bobby Hmar, Deepanjali Medhi, Angshuman Kalita, Dipesh Bhagabati. A Comparative Analysis on Phenomenology of OCD in Children and Adult in a Hospital Based Population. Int J Med Res Prof. 2016; 2(6):38-41. DOI:10.21276/ijmrp.2016.2.6.007