

Role of Adjunctive L-Methylfolate with Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor in Depressed Patients at a Tertiary Care Centre

Ravindra Kumar Bansal¹, Sunil Kumar Pawar², Vinay Sharma³

¹Associate Professor, ²Assistant Professor, Department of Psychiatry, ³Assistant Professor, Department of Pharmacology, Rama Medical College Hospital & Research Centre, Hapur, UP, India.

ABSTRACT

Background: Present study was aimed to determine clinical outcome and tolerability in patients receiving combination of L-MTF plus Escitalopram at the initiation of treatment compared with Escitalopram monotherapy.

Methods: Patients aged 21- 60 years, newly diagnosed as Depression, having moderate to severe severity as per ICD-10 criteria were taken for the study after informed consent.³¹ Patients taking supplemental folic acid, having current or a history of psychotic episodes, history of bipolar disorder, patient with suicidal tendencies or the patients having significiant physical and neurological illness were excluded from the study. Semi structured proforma and HDRS (Hamilton depression rating scale) was administered. Patients were divided in two groups based on the observation, one group was of L-MTF plus Escitalopram and other was of Escitalopram alone. The score was calculated for both the groups. The assessment on HDRS was done again in the follow up at 2,4,6,8 weeks. 50% or more reduction in HDRS was considered as response.

Results: The sample consisted of 70 patients, 35 in each group. No significant sex differentiation was observed as well as average duration of illness was comparable in both groups. The present study demonstrated that by adding L-MTF to Escitalopram at the initiation of treatment led to greater number of responders comparison to Escitalopram monotherapy. L-MTF plus Escitalopram did not demonstrate any significant decrease in HDRS on 2 weeks. Further, L-MTF plus Escitalopram group demonstrated significant decrease in

INTRODUCTION

Major depressive disorder (MDD) is a common, one of the most treatable condition yet highly recurrent and potentially fatal illness.¹ Patients with MDD have increased rates of morbidity and mortality, functional impairment, reduced quality of life, and increased risk of suicide.² Studies have shown that despite a wide range of options for treating MDD, up to 40% of patients fail to respond to treatment, even after fourth-line therapy.³

Patients who do not respond to antidepressants consume a disproportionally larger share of health care resources and have lower work productivity than patients that respond to treat-ment.⁴ As even sequential monotherapy with antidepressants fails to produce an adequate response to treatment for a large proportion of patients, augmentation of antidepressant therapy with a second antidepressant, atypical antipsychotics, lithium salts, or other agents has been suggested as a solution when traditional therapy fails. Evidence is accumulating showing that combination therapy in treatment of MDD may produce higher remission rates and

HDRS (p<0.001) at 4,6,8 weeks compared with Escitalopram monotherapy group. As per table 3 nausea, constipation & somnolence were reported more in combination group while dizziness, agitation in Escitalopram group.

Conclusions: Greater efficacy was observed with lmethylfolate when used as an adjunct to Escitalopram from initiation. Our present analyses establishes the relative superiority of l-methylfolate plus Escitalopram versus Escitalopram alone in MDD patients during initiation of treatment. More studies with other SSRI's and other classes are required to validate the findings.

Key words: Depression, Escitalopram, L-methylfolate (L-MTF).

*Correspondence to:

Dr Ravindra Kumar Bansal,

Associate Professor, Department of Psychiatry, Rama Medical College Hospital & Research Centre, Hapur, UP, India.

Article History:

Received: 10-05-2016, Revised: 18-05-2016, Accepted: 13-06-2016

Access this article online				
Website:	Quick Response code			
www.ijmrp.com	en staten en service Staten service			
DOI:				
10.21276/ijmrp.2016.2.4.004				

lower relapse rates than traditional monotherapy, either as an initial treatment plan or as a strategy for nonresponse to initial treatment. $^{5\mathcharmon}$

Administering combination or adjunctive agents at the initiation of treatment in lieu of sequenced treatment trials represents a major paradigm shift in the treatment of MDD.6 Previous researchers suggested that combinations from the initiation of treatment may lead to more rapid clinical outcomes, higher remission rates and lower relapse rates when compared with sequentially administered single anti- depressants.⁸⁻¹¹ Few studies in past have demonstrated the efficacy of Methyl-tetrahydrofolate as adjunctive with anti-depressants at the initiation of therapy or as monotherapy in depressed patients with normal and low folate levels.¹²⁻¹⁴

An association has been observed between folate deficiency, metabolic dysregulation, and inflammation.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ The benefits of folic acid and its biologically active form, I-methylfolate, for treating

MDD have been recognized; also recently recognized are links between folate deficiency and an increased risk for MDD, reduced antidepressant effectiveness, and a more chronic course of illness.¹⁹⁻²¹

The folate cycle plays a central role in the production of catecholamine neurotransmitters, and increasing the synaptic concentration of these transmitters are believed to be one mechanism of action for many antidepressants.²² Rather than blocking catecholamine reuptake as with the SSRI/SNRI class of compounds, folate works at the presynaptic level to support catecholamine production.23 Folic acid must undergo enzymatic reduction by methyltetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) to become biologically active. The heterozygous polymorphism of MTHFR has been found in 47% of the normal population and the homozygous polymorphism in 11% of the population. These polymorphisms are known to cause a reduction in MTHFR activity of 34% and 71%, respectively.²⁴⁻²⁷ Folate deficiency can also be caused by drugs (such as anticonvulsants, antibiotics, and oral contraceptives), malabsorption syndromes, chronic diseases, and alcohol use.28 Depression is often associated with weight

fluctuation, including anorexia and weight loss, which suggests that low folate levels could be both a cause and a consequence of depression. Low folate blood levels have been associated with poorer or slower response to fluoxetine for MDD, and higher folate levels have been associated with better response to antidepressants.^{21,29,30} L-methylfolate is the primary biologically active isomer of folate, does not require MTHFR for biological activity, and is the form of folate that is transported across the blood-brain barrier.²³

Subotimal serum, red blood cell folate levels and C.N.S. folate status have been associated with more severe symptoms of depression, poorer response to antidepressant drugs, longer duration of illness, later onset of clinical improvement and greater treatment resistance.²¹⁻²⁶

The present study was conducted on Escitalopram, an antidepressant widely used, easily available, safe and class (SSRI) representative. Present study was aimed to determine clinical outcome and tolerability in patients receiving combination of L-MTF plus Escitalopram at the initiation of treatment compared with Escitalopram monotherapy.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	Escitalopram n=35		L-methylfolate plus Escitalopram n=35		
Time duration					
	No. of	% of	No. of	% of	
	responders	responders	responders	responders	
0 day	0	0	0	0	
2 weeks	2	5.7	8	22.86	
4 weeks	6	17.1	17	48.6	
6 weeks	15	42.9	31	88.6	
8 weeks	17	48.6	32	91.4	

Table 2: Assessment on HDRS (Parameter evaluated: HDRS>50% reduction in scores from day 0)

Time	Escitalopram (HDRS)	L-Methylfolate + Escitalopram (HDRS)	(% of difference) Inc. in no. of Responders compared to Escitalopram group
0 day	24.53±0.62	24.02±1.04	0
2 weeks	20.04±0.87	19.87±1.13	17.1
4 weeks	14.89±1.13	9.34±0.49*	31.5
6 weeks	12.78±1.17	3.94±0.98*	45.7
8 weeks	7.84±0.78	3.36±0.63*	42.8

*P<0.001 as compared to escitalopram group.

Adverse Events	Escitalopram	L-methylfolate plus
	(n=35)	Escitalopram (n=35)
Gastrointestinal disorders Nausea, Vomitting	1(2.9%)	2(5.7%)
Constipation	2(5.7%)	3(8.6%)
CNS disorder		
Somnolence	2(5.7%)	3(8.6%)
Dizziness	4(11.4%)	3(8.6%)
Agitation	3(8.6%)	1(2.9%)

MATERIALS & METHODS

Present study was conducted in department of psychiatry, Rama Medical College Hospital & Research Centre, Hapur, UP, India. Patients aged 21- 60 years, newly diagnosed as Depression, having moderate to severe severity as per ICD-10 criteria were taken for the study after informed consent.³¹ Patients taking supplemental folic acid, having current or a history of psychotic episodes, history of bipolar disorder, patient with suicidal tendencies or the patients having significiant physical and neurological illness were excluded from the study. Semi structured proforma and HDRS (Hamilton depression rating scale) was administered. Patients were divided in two groups based on the observation, one group was of L-MTF plus Escitalopram and other was of Escitalopram alone. The score was calculated for both the groups. The assessment on HDRS was done again in the follow up at 2,4,6,8 weeks. 50% or more reduction in HDRS was considered as response. Adverse drug reaction were noted as per the proforma.32 The dosages of Escitalopram used in both the groups were similar.

OBSERVATIONS & DISCUSSION

The sample consisted of 70 patients, 35 in each group; with the mean age of 35.9 years in Escitalopram group and 33.7 years in combination group. No significant sex differentiation was observed as well as average duration of illness was comparable in both groups.

The present study demonstrated that by adding L-MTF to Escitalopram at the initiation of treatment led to greater number of responders comparison to Escitalopram monotherapy.

Tables 2 shows that L-MTF plus Escitalopram did not demonstrate any significant decrease in HDRS on 2 weeks. Further, L-MTF plus Escitalopram group demonstrated significant decrease in HDRS (p<0.001) at 4,6,8 weeks compared with Escitalopram monotherapy group. As per table 3 nausea, constipation & somnolence were reported more in combination group while dizziness, agitation in Escitalopram group.

The finding of significant greater response was replicated in previous studies but they have used combination of two antidepressants rather than combination of Escitalopram and L methylfolate used in the present study.⁸⁻¹¹

In present study, L-MTF, a biologically active form of folate and the only form that crosses blood brain barrier was used. Study by Lawrence D et al also demonstrated major improvement in depressive symptoms and functions in L- MTF plus SSRI or SNRI from treatment initiation, compared to SSRI or SNRI monotherapy.³³

Additionally 22.86% patients on L-MTF plus Escitalopram had response as early as 2 weeks. The findings were similar to Pali Rastogi et al³² and Lawrence D et al³³ who found time for major improvement in combination group was shorter than monotherapy. The reason for rapid improvement could be the synergistic action of Escitalopram and L-MTF. L- MTF may facilitate a more rapid response to reuptake inhibitors by regulating upstream synthesis of serotonin, nor epinephrine and dopamine sufficiently to help achieve and maintain 'Downstream' response of reuptake inhibition.³²

Few researchers in past had investigated genetic markers related to folate metabolism to find for their association with MDD.³⁴⁻³⁶ The results from these analyses provide further support for the benefits

of I-methylfolate as adjunctive treatment for patients not responding adequately to SSRIs and suggest additional avenues for identifying those individuals most likely to respond to this treatment. These results could lead to an opportunity for individualizing treatment approaches for depressed patients unresponsive to initial antidepressant therapy.

CONCLUSIONS

Greater efficacy was observed with I-methylfolate when used as an adjunct to Escitalopram from initiation. Our present analyses establishes the relative superiority of I-methylfolate plus Escitalopram versus Escitalopram alone in MDD patients during initiation of treatment. More studies with other SSRI's and other classes are required to validate the findings.

REFERENCES

1. Fava M, Rush AJ. Current status of augmentation and combination treatments for major depressive disorder: a literature review and a proposal for a novel approach to improve practice. Psychother Psychosom.2006; 75(3):139- 153.

2. Greden F. The burden of disease for treatment-resistant depression. J Clin Psychiatry. 2001; 62(Suppl 16):26-31.

3. Rush AJ, Trivedi MH, Wisniewski SR, et al. Acute and longer-term outcomes in depressed outpatients requiring one or several treatment steps: a STAR*D report. Am J Psychiatry. 2006; 163(11):1905-17.

4. Greenberg PE, Kessler RC, Birnbaum HG, et al. The economic burden of depression in the United States: how did it change between 1990 and 2000? J Clin Psychiatry. 2003;64(12):1465-75.

5. Alexopoulos GS. Pharmacotherapy for late-life depression. J Clin Psychiatry. 2011; 72(1):e04.

6. Stahl SM. Combining antidepressant therapies from the initiation of treatment: a paradigm shift for major depression. J Clin Psychiatry. 2009; 70(11):1493-94.

7. American Psychiatric Association. Practice Guideline for the Treatment of Patients with Major Depressive Disorder. 3rd ed. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association; 2010.

8. Nelson CJ, Mazure CM, Jatlow PI, et al. Combining norepinephrine and serotonin reuptake inhibition mechanisms for treatment of depression: a double blind randomized study. Biol Psychiatry. 2004; 55(3):296-300.

9. Biler P, Ward HE, Tremblay P, et al. Combination of antidepressant medications from treatment initiation for major depressive disorder: a double blind randomized study. Am J Psychiatry.2010; 167(3):281-288.

10. Blier P, Gobbi G, Turcotte JE, et al. Mirtazapine and paroxetine in major depression: a comparison of monotherapy versus their combination from treatment initiation. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2009; 19(7):457-465.

11. Fava M, McCall WV, Krystal A, et al. Exzopicione coadministered with fluoxetine in patients with insomnia coexisting with major depressive disorder. Biol Psychiatry.2006; 59(11):1052-1060.

12. Di Palma C, Urani R, Argicola R, et al. Is methylfolate effective in relieving major depression in chronic alcoholics? a hypothesis of treatment. Curr Ther Res.1994; 55(5):559-568.

13. Guaraldi GP, Fava M, Mazzi F, et al. An open trial of methyltetrahydrofolate in elderly depressed patients. Ann Clin Psychiatry. 1993; (2):101-105.

14. Passeri M, Cucinotta D, Abate G, et al. Oral 5methyltetrahydrofolic acid in senile organic mental disorders with depression: results of double-blind multicenter study. Aging Clin Exp Res. 1993; 5(1):63-71. 15. Vogelzangs N, Beekman AT, Boelhouwer IG, et al. Metabolic depression: a chronic depressive subtype? findings from the InCHIANTI study of older persons. J Clin Psychiatry. 2011;72(5):598–604. doi:10.408/JCP.10m0659 PubMed

16. Blume J, Douglas SD, Evans DL. Immune suppression and immune activation in depression. Brain Behav Immun. 2011;25(2):221–229. doi:10.1016/j.bi.2010.10.08 PubMed

17. Miller AH, Maletic V, Raison CL. Inflammation and its discontents: the role of cytokines in the pathophysiology of major depression. Biol Psychiatry. 2009;65(9):732–741. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.208.1.029 PubMed

18. Simon NM, McNamara K, Chow CW, et al. A detailed examination of cytokine abnormalities in major depressive disorder. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2008;18(3):230–233. doi:10.1016

19. Ginsberg LD, Oubre AY, Daoud YA. I-Methylfolate plus SSRI or SNRI from treatment initiation compared to SSRI or SNRI monotherapy in a major depressive episode. Innov Clin Neurosci. 2011;8(1):19–28. PubMed

20. Fava M. Augmenting antidepressants with folate: a clinical perspective. J Clin Psychiatry. 2007;68(suppl 10):4–7. PubMed

21. Papakostas GI, Petersen T, Mischoulon D, et al. Serum folate, vitamin B12 and homocysteine in major depressive disorder, part 2: predictors of relapse during the continuation phase of pharmacotherapy. J Clin Psychiatry. 2004;65(8):1096–1098. doi:10.408

22. Westenberg HG. Pharmacology of antidepressants: selectivity or multiplicity? J Clin Psychiatry. 1999;60(Suppl 17):S4-S8.

23. Stahl SM. Novel therapeutics for depression: L-methylfolate as a trimonoamine modulator and antidepressant-augmenting agent. CNS Spectr. 2007;12(10):739-44.

24. Gilbody S, Lightfoot T, Sheldon T. Is low folate a risk factor for depression? A meta-analysis and exploration of heterogeneity. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2007;61(7):631-37.

25. Bottiglieri T. Homocysteine and folate metabolism in depression. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2005;29(7):1103-12.

26. de Bree A, Verschuren WM, Bjorke-Monsen AL, et al. Effect of the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase 677C--->T mutation on the relations among folate intake and plasma folate and homocysteine concentrations in a general population sample. Am J Clin Nutr. 2003;77(3):687-93.

27. Klerk M, Verhoef P, Clarke R, Blom HJ, Kok FJ, Schouten EG. MTHFR 677C-->T polymorphism and risk of coronary heart disease: a meta-analysis. JAMA. 2002;288(16):2023-31.

28. Alpert JE, Mischoulon D, Nierenberg AA, Fava M. Nutrition and depression: focus on folate. Nutrition. 2000;16(7-8):544-46.

29. Papakostas GI, Petersen T, Lebowitz BD, et al. The relationship between serum folate, vitamin B12, and homocysteine levels in major

depressive disorder and the timing of improvement with fluoxetine. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. 2005;8(4):523-28.

30. Alpert M, Silva RR, Pouget ER. Prediction of treatment response in geriatric depression from baseline folate level: interaction with an SSRI or a tricyclic antidepressant. J Clin Psychopharmacol. 2003;23(3):309-13.

31. World Health Organisation: Depressive episode In: The ICD 10 classification of mental and behavioural disorders: clinical description and diagnostic guidelines. New Delhi: Oxford University press.1992.

32. Pali Rastogi, Sapna Gajbhiye More, Pradeep Phadnis, Ramghulam Razdan, Savita Vyas, R. P. Agrawal. "Role of L: Methylfolate with Escitalopram from Treatment Initiation in Depression". Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences 2015; Vol. 4, Issue 88, November 02; Page: 15275-15278, DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2015/2171.

33. Lawrence D, Ginsberg MD, Alondra Y, Yahya A.L- methylfolate plus SSRI or SNRI from treatment initiation compared to SSRI or SNRI monotherapy in a major depressive episode. Innov Clin Neurosci.2011; 8(1):19-28.

34. Lewis SJ, Lawlor DA, Davey Smith G, et al. The thermolabile variant of MTHFR is associated with depression in the British Women's Heart and Health Study and a meta-analysis. Mol Psychiatry. 2006;11(4):352–360. doi:10.1038/sj.mp.401790 PubMed

35. Lopez-Leon S, Janssens AC, Gonzalez-Zuloeta Ladd AM, et al. Meta-analyses of genetic studies on major depressive disorder. Mol Psychiatry. 2008;13(8):772–785. doi:10.1038/sj.mp.40208 PubMed

36. Peerbooms OL, van Os J, Drukker M, et al; MTHFR in Psychiatry Group. Meta-analysis of MTHFR gene variants in schizophrenia, bipolar disorder and unipolar depressive disorder: evidence for a common genetic vulnerability? Brain Behav Immun. 2011;25(8):1530–1543. doi:10.1016/j.bi.2010.12.06 PubMed

Source of Support: Nil. Conflict of Interest: None Declared.

Copyright: © the author(s) and publisher. IJMRP is an official publication of Ibn Sina Academy of Medieval Medicine & Sciences, registered in 2001 under Indian Trusts Act, 1882.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Cite this article as: Ravindra Kumar Bansal, Sunil Kumar Pawar, Vinay Sharma. Role of Adjunctive L-Methylfolate with Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor in Depressed Patients at a Tertiary Care Centre. Int J Med Res Prof. 2016; 2(4):13-16.