

Awareness of Text Neck Syndrome Among Clinical Years Medical Students At King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah

Majed Alghamdi^{1*}, Amal Mohammed Alharthi², Shaza Omar Alorabi², Abeer Muidh Alzahrani², Maha Glayel Almalki²

^{1*}Consultant Community and Preventive Physician, ²Family Medicine Resident, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

ABSTRACT

Aim: This study aimed to evaluate the level of awareness regarding text neck syndrome among medical students.

Method: This was across- sectional study conducted among 417 clinical years medical students at King Abdulaziz University –Jeddah. During 2021. The data collected using a structured valid questionnaire.

Results: The results revealed that 31.9% population has heard of text neck syndrome where only 7.9% population has knowledge of this syndrome. Only18.5% have knowledge regarding the preventive measures of this syndrome.

Conclusion: The increasing number of smart devices, and their users of these devices cause an increasing in the number of patients of text neck syndrome. Two thirds of the participants didn't hear about text neck syndrome, indicating un adequate level of awareness.

Recommendation: Education messages to medical students and interns about text neck syndrome should cover the main points of knowledge gap. Further nation-wide studies on assessment of medical students' awareness regarding text neck syndrome need to be conducted in larger sample size and regions other than Jeddah, so as to identify the level and distribution of different attitude as well as the areas and topics of attitude deficits.

Keywords: Awareness, Text Neck Syndrome, Medical Students, Smart Devices.

*Correspondence to:

Dr. Majed Alghamdi,

Consultant Community and Preventive Physician, King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia.

Article History:

Received: 11-10-2021, Revised: 04-11-2021, Accepted: 30-11-2021

Access this article online				
Website: www.ijmrp.com	Quick Response code			
DOI: 10.21276/ijmrp.2021.7.6.001				

INTRODUCTION

At these days, it is well identified that the use of digital devices in the pattern of modern life is very common and frequent among all age groups.¹⁻³ Almost everyone owns at least one type of mobile handheld device, due to several reasons; low cost, suitability, Multiple usability choices, attractive applications, and easy access to internet encourage users especially youths to spend a most of the time with their mobile devices.³⁻⁵ American study (2017) reported a significant growth in the using of smartphone from 33% at 2011 to 77% at 2017.^{6,7} A recent study represents that 79% of the population between the age (18-44) have their cell phones with them most of the time.^{1,8}

Saudi Arabia ranked third in the world in terms of population using smartphones at 72.8%.⁹ Nowadays, the electronic devices are considered a cornerstone of university students' life. Similar to Canadian studies, Studies done among university students in Saudi Arabia are representing moderate to high addiction rate of using smartphones.⁹⁻¹¹

One of the studies among King Saud University students showed that 27.2% of the participants spent more than 8 hours per day

using their smart phones.¹² The anatomy of the neck or cervical spine is

complex. It has coordinated network of muscles, bones and nerves, so any Irritation of the cervical spine and its pathway could promote pain and stress.¹³ Previous research has established that the prevalence of musculoskeletal problems with mobile phone habit become higher from 17.3% to 67.8% for neck complaints.³ This problem may be explained by a hypothesis, based on biomechanics that unsuitable neck posture to text and read on electronic devices could be one of the causes for the increasing prevalence of neck pain.^{5,14-17}

However, for every 2.5 cm the head moves forward, it gains 0.45 kg in weight. Consequently, the muscles of the upper back and neck suffer more strain to support the position of the head. Also, forward head posture may lead to in the loss of up to 30% of vital lung capacity.²

Head down posture when using a smartphone has been measured in experimental studies by calculating the amount of head flexion in the sagittal plane.¹⁸

In Lee et al. (2015), head flexion angle of the participants was measured in tow position sitting and standing when doing texting, browsing and video watching. Median head flexion angle ranged from 33.3° to 44.8° and head flexion when doing texting was bigger than browsing or video watching with more head flexion during sitting position when compared standing.¹⁹ Another study was conducted by Schabrun et al, head flexion angle was measured when conducting texting and reading tasks while walking on an 8.5-m walkway showed mean head flexion angle ranged from 29.2° to 31.8°, without significant difference between the two tasks.^{18,20}

Text neck syndrome is combination musculoskeletal symptoms resulting from frequently using handheld devices specially smartphones for a long time with inappropriate postures. The most frequently reported symptoms were neck pain and soreness in addition to upper back pain, shoulder pain and chronic headache. Excessive utilizing of the cell phone long periods could result in prolonged forward head posture which is harmful and painful.²¹⁻²⁴ The consequence of text neck syndrome if not detected early and treated will lead to a serious permanent damage such as: flattening of the spinal curve, early arthritis, muscle and nerve damage, disc compression, headache and depression.^{13,25} A recent study done in 2018 demonstrated low level of awareness of

text neck syndrome among young adultpopulation,35% of the population has heard of text neck syndrome and out of those only 21% know about the preventive measures.²⁶

METHODOLOGY

It is a Cross Sectional Analytic Study. The study will include a sample of clinical years medical students at King Abdulaziz University –Jeddah. Clinical years medical students (4th,5th, and 6th) at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah will include in this study. The study will be carried out using a structured valid questionnaire by published study, Section one consists of information regarding socio-demographic variables of included subjects (age, gender, marital status, academic year). Section two consists of questions to assess the knowledge regarding text neck syndrome.

All collected data will be coded and entered into a personal computer. Data entry and statistical analysis will be performed by using the Statistical Program for Social Science-s (SPSS, version 22.0) and appropriate statistical tests will be applied. Descriptive statistics (i.e., frequency, percentage, mean and standard deviation) calculated. Chi-square test and independent t test will be applied for comparison. P-value of <0.05 will considered statistically significant.

Table 1: Demographic data:				
Variable	Ν	%		
Age				
18-22 year	206	49.4		
23-27 year	208	49.9		
28-32 year	3	.7		
Gender				
Female	273	65.5		
Male	144	34.5		
Nationality				
Saudi	400	95.9		
Non-Saudi	17	.24.1		
Marital status				
Divorced	1	.2		
Married	17	4.1		
Single	399	95.7		
Clinical year				
4th year	109	26.1		
5th year	240	57.6		
6th year	68	16.3		
Variable	Mean ± SD	Range (min-max)		
GPA	4.3± 0.5	(1-5)		

Variable	N	%
Years of using mobile		
3-5 year	27	6.5
6-8 year	107	25.7
8-10 year	141	33.8
More than 10 years	142	34.1
hours spending on mobile phone		
1 hour	12	2.9
2-4 hours	103	24.7
5-6 hours	170	40.8
More than 6 hours	132	31.7
Using other electronic device		
No	17	4.1
Yes	400	95.9

Majed Alghamdi et al. Awareness of Text Neck Syndrome Among Clinical Years Medical Students

Electronic device#								
Laptop		34				82		
lpad		35	6			85	o.4	
Variable		Lapt						.,
The time of using electronic davi		N	u i	6	١	N	U I	%
The time of using electronic devi 1 hour		60	16	5.2	7	5	2	1.1
2-4 hours		13		5.2 5.9		9		2.2
4-6 hours		5 4		5.6)5		9.5
More than 6 hours		9		1.3		7		7.2
Variable	l ess tha	n 1 hours	1 - 3 k	ours	4-6 h	ours	More tha	n 6 hours
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
The reasons of mobile phone usi	ing and the f		reason					
Calling	332	79.6	65	15.6	13	3.1	7	1.7
Texting	100	24.0	208	49.9	88	21.1	21	5.0
Reading	133	31.9	176	42.2	83	19.9	25	6.0
Watching	88	21.1	165	39.6	120	28.7	44	10.6
Video gaming	339	81.3	47	11.3	17	4.1	14	3.3
	Ta	ble 3: Know	ledge of te	xt neck sync	drome			
Variable				Ν			%	
Do you think you should minimiz	e phone us	age from						
health perspective? No				58			13.9	
Yes				359			86.1	
Do you know how to cope up wit caused by excess usage of phon		oms						
Exercises				156			27.9	
Rest				358			63.9	
Medications				42			7.5	
Others				4			0.7	
Have you heard about Text Neck	syndrome?							
No	•			284			68.1	
Yes, but I don't know about it				100			24.0	
Yes, I know about it				33			7.9	
If yes, from which sources? #								
Friends				24			11.1	
Internet				64			29.6	
Medical professionals				42			19.4	
Multimedia				40			18.5	
Others				40			21.4	
What do you think can cause tex	t neck evind	rome?		40			∠1.4	
Excess talking on phone	CHECK SYNU			14			3.4	
				334			3.4 80.1	
Excess texting on phone Reading of textbook				334 69			80.1 16.5	
Do you think you can prevent tex	rt nock oved	Iromo?		69			10.0	
No	I HECK SYIIO			13			3.1	
Yes, but I don't know how				327			3.1 78.4	
Yes, I know how				327 77			70.4 18.5	
ICS, I NIIUW IIUW	Are you	involved wit	h anv inter	ventions for	r preventing		10.0	
			neck sync					
No				365			87.5	
Yes, daily				4			1.0	
Yes, sometimes				48			11.5	
Did you committed to praying?								
Always				257			61.6	
Never				39			9.4	
Often				35			8.4	
Sometimes				26			6.2	
0011001100				20			0.2	

Multiple response

	ealth hazards and complications	
Variable	Ν	%
Thinking in the ability of the phone to cause the		
following health hazards		
Pain in neck		
Agree	159	38.1
Disagree	12	2.9
Slightly agree	62	14.9
Strongly agree	168	40.3
Strongly disagree	16	3.8
Pain in arm		
Agree	131	31.4
Disagree	63	15.1
Slightly agree	107	25.7
Strongly agree	97	23.3
Strongly disagree	19	4.6
Pain in shoulder		
Agree	130	31.2
Disagree	71	17.0
Slightly agree	113	27.1
Strongly agree	88	21.1
Strongly disagree	15	3.6
Pain in upper back		0.0
Agree	153	36.7
Disagree	59	14.1
Slightly agree	87	20.9
Strongly agree	105	25.2
Strongly disagree	13	3.1
Headache	13	5.1
Agree	145	34.8
	32	7.7
	81	19.4
Slightly agree	141	33.8
Strongly agree	141	
Strongly disagree	10	4.3
Change in neck posture	454	20.0
Agree	151	36.2
Disagree	53	12.7
Slightly agree	52	12.5
Strongly agree	139	33.3
Strongly disagree	22	5.3
Tingling numbness in Hand	100	
Agree	108	25.9
Disagree	87	20.9
Slightly agree	96	23.0
Strongly agree	101	24.2
Strongly disagree	25	6.0
A	long torm complications	
Prolapsed inter vertebral disc (slipped disc)	flong-term complications	
No	255	61.2
Yes	162	38.8
Spondylosis		00.0
No	279	66.9
Yes	138	33.1
Osteoarthritis of cervical spine (degeneration)	100	00.1
No	276	66.2
Yes	141	33.8

Table 4: Text neck health	hazards and complications
---------------------------	---------------------------

Variable		inimizing the use of phone and o Do you think you should m health pers	inimize phone usage from	P value
		No	Yes	-
Age	18-22 year	26	180	0.563
	00.07	44.8%	50.1%	
	23-27 year	32 55.2%	176 49.0%	
	28-32 year	0	3	
		0.0%	.8%	
Gender	Female	32	241	0053
		55.2%	67.1%	
	Male	26 44.8%	118 32.9%	
Nationality	Saudi	44.0 <i>%</i> 57	343	0.815
		98.3%	95.5%	01010
	Non - Saudi	1	16	
••••••••	_	1.7%	4.5%	
Marital status	Divorced	0	1	0.830
	Married	0.0%	.3% 15	
		3.4%	4.2%	
	Single	56	343	
		96.6%	95.5%	
clinical years	4th year	9	100	0.115
	5th year	15.5% 38	27.9% 202	
	5th year	38 65.5%	202 56.3%	
	6th year	11	57	
		19.0%	15.9%	
Committed to praying	Always	41	216	0.531
		70.7%	60.2%	
	Never	4 6.9%	35 9.7%	
	Often	3	32	
		5.2%	8.9%	
	Sometimes	4	22	
		6.9%	6.1%	
	Usually	6	54	
Change in neck posture	Agree	10.3% 26	15.0% 125	0.022*
onange in neek postare	Agree	44.8%	34.8%	0.022
	Disagree	8	45	
	-	13.8%	12.5%	
	Slightly agree	11	41	
	Steanaly agreed	19.0% 9	11.4%	
	Strongly agreed	9 15.5%	130 36.2%	
	Strongly disagree	4	18	
	0, 0	6.9%	5.0%	
Years of using mobile	3-5 year	6	21	0.465
phone		10.3%	5.8%	
	6-8 year	12 20.7%	95 26.5%	
	9-10 year	20.770	119	
	· · · , · · ·	37.9%	33.1%	
	More than 10 years	18	124	
U	4 h a sur	31.0%	34.5%	0.000
Hours spending on mobile	1 hour	4 6.9%	8 2.2%	0.383
phone	2-4 hours	6.9% 14	2.2% 89	
		24.1%	24.8%	
	5-6 hours	22	148	
		37.9%	41.2%	
	More than 6 hours	18	114	
		31.0%	31.8%	

/ariable		knowing how to cope with text neck syndrome symptoms			P value
		Rest	Exercises	Medication	
Age	18-22 year	175	111	16	0.156
.90	10 11 Jour	49.2%	53.6%	37.2%	0.100
	23-27 year	178	94	27	
	23-27 year	50.0%		62.8%	
			45.4%		
	28-32 year	3	2	0	
		.8%	1.0%	0.0%	
Gender	Female	234	132	30	0328
		65.7%	63.8%	69.8%	
	Male	122	75	13	
		34.3%	36.2%	30.2%	
ationality	Saudi	342	196	40	0.454
		96.1%	94.7%	93.0%	
	Non - Saudi	14	11	3	
	NUII - Jauui				
• • • • •	D . 1	3.9%	5.3%	7.0%	0.400
larital status	Divorced	1	1	0	0.485
		.3%	.5%	0.0%	
	Married	16	8	1	
		4.5%	3.9%	2.3%	
	Single	339	198	42	
	-	95.2%	95.7%	97.7%	
linical years	4th year	94	55	10	0.12
		26.4%	26.6%	23.3%	0.120
	5th year	210	111	28	
	Sun year				
	0.1	59.0%	53.6%	65.1%	
	6th year	52	41	5	
		14.6%	19.8%	11.6%	
Committed to praying	Always	222	131	31	0.564
		62.4%	63.3%	72.1%	
	Never	34	17	5	
		9.6%	8.2%	11.6%	
	Often	30	22	3	
		8.4%	10.6%	7.0%	
	Sometimes	19	10	1	
	Sometimes			-	
		5.3%	4.8%	2.3%	
	Usually	51	27	3	
		14.3%	13.0%	7.0%	
hange in neck posture	Agree	128	70	12	0.025
		36.0%	33.8%	27.9%	
	Disagree	46	18	6	
	Ū	12.9%	8.7%	14.0%	
	Slightly agree	42	27	3	
	enging agree	11.8%	13.0%	7.0%	
	Strongly agreed	123	82	20	
	Survingly agreed				
	e , i	34.6%	39.6%	46.5%	
	Strongly disagree	17	10	2	
		4.8%	4.8%	4.7%	
ears of using mobile	3-5 year	22	15	6	0.304
hone		6.2%	7.2%	14.0%	
	6-8 year	91	48	11	
		25.6%	23.2%	25.6%	
	9-10 year	121	80	13	
	o-io yoai	34.0%	38.6%	30.2%	
	Mara than 10				
	More than 10 years	122	64	13	
		34.3%	30.9%	30.2%	. .
ours spending on mobile	1 hour	11	7	2	0.532
hone		3.1%	3.4%	4.7%	
	2-4 hours	82	61	14	
		23.0%	29.5%	32.6%	
	5-6 hours	146	80	15	
		41.0%	38.6%	34.9%	
		T1.0/0			
	More than 6 hours	117	59	12	

Table 6: The relation between knowing how to cope with text neck syndrome symptoms and demographic data:
--

Variable		Hearing about text neck syndrome			P value
	-	No	Yes, but I don't	Yes, I know	
			know about it	about it	
Age	18-22 year	145	46	15	0.816
-	•	51.1%	46.0%	45.5%	
	23-27 year	137	53	18	
	•	48.2%	53.0%	54.5%	
	28-32 year	2	1	0	
	•	.7%	1.0%	0.0%	
Gender	Female	194	62	17	0.119
		68.3%	62.0%	51.5%	
	Male	90	38	16	
		31.7%	38.0%	48.5%	
Vationality	Saudi	272	96	32	0.544
		95.8%	96.0%	97.0%	
	Non - Saudi	12	4	1	
		4.2%	4.0%	3.0%	
Marital status	Divorced	1	0	0	0.448
	Bitoloca	.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.110
	Married	13	4	0.070	
	Mailicu	4.6%	4.0%	0.0%	
	Single	4.6%	4.0% 96	33	
	Single				
linical voore	Ath year	95.1%	96.0%	100.0%	0 570
clinical years	4th year	72	28	9	0.570
	F 4h	25.4%	28.0%	27.3%	
	5th year	170	51	19	
		59.9%	51.0%	57.6%	
	6th year	42	21	5	
		14.8%	21.0%	15.2%	
Committed to praying	Always	177	61	19	0.873
		62.3%	61.0%	57.6%	
	Never	28	9	2	
		9.9%	9.0%	6.1%	
	Often	24	8	3	
		8.5%	8.0%	9.1%	
	Sometimes	19	4	3	
		6.7%	4.0%	9.1%	
	Usually	36	18	6	
		12.7%	18.0%	18.2%	
Change in neck posture	Agree	103	35	13	0.829
change in neck posture	Agree	36.3%	35.0%	39.4%	0.025
	Disagree	41	8	4	
	Disagree	14.4%	8.0%	12.1%	
	Clinktly on the	35		4	
	Slightly agree		13		
	Otros estas a successi	12.3%	13.0%	12.1%	
	Strongly agreed	91	37	11	
		32.0%	37.0%	33.3%	
	Strongly disagree	14	7	1	
		4.9%	7.0%	3.0%	. -
Years of using mobile	3-5 year	21	5	1	0.672
phone		7.4%	5.0%	3.0%	
	6-8 year	69	28	10	
		24.3%	28.0%	30.3%	
	9-10 year	94	33	14	
		33.1%	33.0%	42.4%	
	More than 10 years	100	34	8	
	-	35.2%	34.0%	24.2%	
lours spending on mobile	1 hour	10	2	0	0.072
phone		3.5%	2.0%	0.0%	
	2-4 hours	63	33	7	
		22.2%	33.0%	21.2%	
	5-6 hours	119	32	19	
		41 9%	32.0%	57.6%	
	More than 6 hours	41.9% 92	32.0% 33	57.6% 7	

Table 7: The relation between hearing	a about text neck s	vndrome and demo	aranhic data [.]
Table 1. The relation between hearing	y about text neck 5	ynuronne and denno	graphic uala.

RESULTS

Out of 417 clinical years medical students, 273 (65.5%) were female and 144 (35.5%) were male, 400 (95.9%) were Saudi, 399 (95.7%) were single, 240 (57.6%) were from 5th year. The mean score of GPA was 4.3 ± 0.5 . (Table 1)

Third of the participants 141 (33.8%) used mobile for 8-10 years and the other third 142 (34.1%) used it more than 10 years, 170 (40.8%) used t for 5-6 hours and 132 (31.7%) used it more than 6 hours. The majority 400 (95.9%) used other electronic devices, where 346 (82.9%) used laptop and 356 (85.4%) used I pad. Almost the half 160 (46.3%) used laptop for 1 hour and the fourth 93 (269%) used it for 2-4 hours, while 105 (29.5%) used I pad for 5-6 house and 97 (27.2%) for more than 6 hours. Calling 332 (79.6%) and video gaming 339 (81.3%) were the reasons for using mobile for less than 1 hour and, texting 208 (49.9%) and reading 176 (42.2%) were the reasons for using mobile for1-3 hours, while watching was the reason of using mobile for 4-6 hours among 120 (28.7%) and more than 10 hours among 44 (10.6%). (Table 2)

Most of the participants reported that they should minimize phone usage from health perspective. Almost two thirds 358 (63.9%) reported rest as the way to cope up, and 156 (27.9%) reported exercises. Two thirds of the participants 284 (68.1%) didn't hear about text neck syndrome. Most of the participants 334 (80.1%) reported "Excess texting on phone" as the cause of the syndrome, 327 (78.4%) think that the syndrome can be prevented but they don't know how, 365 (87.6%) didn't involve with any interventions for preventing text neck syndrome, 257 (61.6%) were committed to praying. (Table 3)

The main health hazards reported by the participants were headache (78.6%), followed by pain in neck (78.4%), then change in neck posture (69.5%), pain in upper back (61.9%), pain in arm (54.7%), pain in shoulder (52.3%), and lastly, tingling numbness in Hand (50.1%). Third of the participants reported the following as the main long-term complications; Prolapsed inter vertebral disc (slipped disc) 162 (38.8%), Osteoarthritis of cervical spine (degeneration) 141 (33.8%), and Spondylosis 138 (33.1%). (Table 4) The result revealed a significant association between minimizing the use of phone and change in neck posture (p=0.022). On the other hand, there was no significant association between minimizing the use of phone and other demographic data (age, gender, nationality, marital status, clinical year, committed to praying, years of using mobile, and hours of using mobile). (Table 5) The result revealed a significant association between knowing how to cope with text neck syndrome symptoms and change in neck posture (p=0.025). On the other hand, there was no significant association between knowing how to cope with text neck syndrome symptoms and other demographic data (age, gender, nationality, marital status, clinical year, committed to praying, years of using mobile, and hours of using mobile). (Table 6) There was no significant association between hearing about text neck syndrome and all demographic data (age, gender, nationality, marital status, clinical year, committed to praying, change in neck posture, years of using mobile, and hours of using mobile). (Table 7)

DISCUSSION

The growing rate of smart phone addiction and lack of awareness have the major impact on developing TNS. Where, dependence

on smart phones increases due to the development in technology. This mean that addiction to smart phones is likely to grow in the future and become one of the most significant addiction kinds. This can be credited to a technology attraction and eagerness to have smart phones as a sign of maintaining with the modern world.^{27,28} The present study aimed to evaluate the level of awareness regarding text neck syndrome among medical students.

Results of this study showed that only 24% have heard about TNS but don't know about it whereas 7.9% have heard about TNS and know about it, and most of them 68.1% didn't hear about it. This result showed the low levels knowledge about text neck syndrome and indicate the high level of ignorance regarding this syndrome. Similar results were reported in India study, where, 27% have heard about TNS but don't know about it where as 8% have heard about TNS and know about it, and 65% haven't heard about TNS.²⁶ Also, in Peshawar study, 119 (39.8%) Physical Therapy students were not aware of TNS, 101(33.8%) heard about it but don't know what it is and 79 (26.4%) students were aware of TNS.²⁷ While, in Majmaah study, 140 (61.1 %) of them had good knowledge, 77 (33.6 %) of them had moderate knowledge, while only 12 (5.2 %) of them had poor knowledge.²⁹ This differences in the percentage could be due to several factors such as socioeconomic factors, sample size, and studies nature.

Out of 417 students, 80.1% stated that TNS is caused due to excess Texting on phone whereas 3.4% think it's because of talking on phone and 16.5% think it's because of reading of textbooks. In India study, 81% population answered that TNS is caused due to excess Texting on phone whereas 13% think it's because of talking on phone and 6% think it's because of reading of textbooks.²⁶ In the other India study, 85% of people said that it is caused mainly due to over usage of smartphones for a long time.³⁰ In the current study almost half of the participants use their mobile phone for 5-6 hours in a day (40.8%) and 31.7% use their phone for more than 6 hours in a day. In India study, most of the subjects use their mobile phone for 2-4 hours in a day (42%) and 27% use their phone for 4-6 hours in a day.26 Also, in Majmaah study, more than third (36.7 %) were using the smart devices for 3 -6 hours per day, around one-quarter (30.1%) students were using them for more than 6 hours per day, and (30.1 %) were using them for less than 3 hours per day.²⁹ The relation between the time spending in the phone and TNS occur was confirmed in other study from Korea, where, a positive relationship between hours of mobile phone use and subjective musculoskeletal problems was demonstrated, the time of using smart phone was about 2 hours among (66.96 %) of the participants. when smart phones are continually used without any rest, and a poor posture is sustained over a long period of time, musculoskeletal pain can happen.³¹

Most of the participants stated that the most common health hazard were mainly headache and pain in the neck. In India study, 38.9% reported neck pain as the main health hazard of excess usage of phone.²⁶ In Majmaah study, the most common signs were neck pain and discomfort (49.8 %), and headache (23.1%)".²⁹ In Aljouf University study, 212 respondents show that the most commonly reported complaint was neck pain due to smart devices and 251 of them had a headache.³² Also, in the study done in Lahore, on prevalence of neck pain amongst under graduate students found out that 56.7% of the participants suffered from neck pain.³³ Similar result was reported in China

(40.0%), Thailand (62.3), and Las Vegas (84.6%) studies.³⁴⁻³⁶ All the studies confirmed the relation between using mobile and neck pain and neck problems. The difference in rates could be due to the variety of socio-economic factors and geographic areas.

In the current study, most of the participants 63.9% reported rest as a method to deal with the symptoms, 279% reported exercises, and only 7.5% reported medications. While, in Majmaah study, massage and relaxation were the most reported (38.4 %) relieving way when having pain after using the smart devices, (27.9 %) correcting the head position, (7.9 %) placing warm water and going to the hospital (n = 10; 4.4 %).²⁹ The findings of the current study indicate the importance of increasing the knowledge regarding text neck syndrome as it is a cumulative stress injury and can be prevented through education.

Time constraints, the researcher finished the data collection within one month only and the nature of the study.

CONCLUSION

Based on the findings of the researcher study, the following can be concluded: Technology development, the increasing number of smart devices, and the growing number of users of these devices cause an increasing in the number of patients of text neck syndrome. Most of the participants reported that they should minimize phone usage from health perspective. Two thirds of the participants didn't hear about text neck syndrome, indicating un adequate level of awareness Excess texting on phone was the main cause of text neck syndrome. Less than fifth know about the preventive measures.

REFERENCES

1. Gustafsson E, Thomée S, Grimby-Ekman A, Hagberg M. Texting on mobile phones and musculoskeletal disorders in young adults: A five-year cohort study. Appl Ergon. 2017 Jan;58:208-214. doi: 10.1016/j.apergo.2016.06.012. Epub 2016 Jul 6.

2. Hojun Yeom, a Juhun Lim, a Sung Hak Yoo, a and Woocheol Lee. A new posture-correcting system using a vector angle model for preventing forward head posture. Biotechnol Biotechnol Equip. 2014 Nov 14; 28(sup1): S6–S13.

3. Xie Y, Szeto G, Dai J. Prevalence and risk factors associated with musculoskeletal complaints among users of mobile handheld devices: A systematic review. Appl Ergon. 2017 Mar;59(Pt A):132-142. doi: 10.1016/j.apergo.2016.08.020. Epub 2016 Sep 11.

4. Cui A, Emery K, Beaudoin AS, Feng J, Côté JN. Sex-specific effects of sitting vs standing on upper body muscle activity during text typing. Appl Ergon. 2019 Sep 25;82:102957. doi: 10.1016/j.apergo.2019.102957. [Epub ahead of print]

5. Damasceno GM, Ferreira AS, Nogueira LAC, Reis FJJ, Lara RW, Meziat-Filho N. Reliability of two pragmatic tools for assessing text neck. J Body Mov Ther. 2018 Oct;22(4):963-967. doi: 10.1016/j.jbmt.2018.01.007. Epub 2018 Feb 2.

6. Abdulrahman Nasser Alzaid, Omar Abdulmohsen Alshadokhi, Abdulrahman Yousef Alnasyan et al. The Prevalence of Neck Pain and The Relationship Between Prolonged Use of Electronic Devices and Neck Pain IN: A Saudi Arabia, Cross- Sectional Study in Saudi Arabia. The Egyptian Journal of Hospital Medicine. January 2018; 70 (11):1992-1999.

7. AlAbdulwahab SS, Kachanathu SJ and AlMotairi MS (2017): Smartphone use addiction can cause neck disability. Musculoskeletal care, 15:10-12. 8. Nordicom, 2013. The Swedish media barometer. In: Carlsson, U. (Ed.), Mediebarometern. Nordic Information Centre for Media and Communication Research, University of Gothenburg, Sweden (In Swedish).

9. Alhazmi, A., Alzahrani, S., Baig, M., Salawati, E. and Alkatheri, A. (2018). Prevalence and factors associated with smartphone addiction among medical students at King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah. Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences, 34(4): 984-98.

10. Berolo, S., Wells, R. and Amick, B. (2011). Musculoskeletal symptoms among mobile hand-held device users and their relationship to device use: A preliminary study in a Canadian university population. Applied Ergonomics, 42(2), pp.371-378.

11. Abdel-Salam D. Prevalence of Internet addiction and its associated factors among female students at Jouf University, Saudi Arabia. Journal of the Egyptian Public Health Association [Internet]. 2019 [cited 19 October 2019];94: 12(2090-262X).

12. Alosaimi F, Alyahya H, Alshahwan H, Al Mahyijari N, Shaik S. Smartphone addiction among university students in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. Saudi Medical Journal. 2016;37(6):675-683.

13. Neupane S, Ali UI, Mathew A. Text Neck Syndrome-Systematic Review. Imperial J Interdisciplinary Res. 2017;3(7):141-8.

14. Lin, Y.-H., Lin, Y.-C., Lee, Y.-H., Lin, P.-H., Lin, S.-H., Chang, L.-R., et al., 2015. Time distortion associated with smartphone addiction: identifying smartphone addiction via a mobile application (App). J. Psychiatr. Res. 65, 139e145.

15. Guan, X., Fan, G., Wu, X., Zeng, Y., Su, H., Gu, G., et al., 2015. Photographic measurement of head and cervical posture when viewing mobile phone: a pilot study. Eur. Spine J. 24 (12), 2892e2898.

16. Gustafsson, E., 2012. Ergonomic recommendations when texting on mobile phones. Work 41 (Suppl. 1), 5705e5706.

17. Hansraj, K.K., 2014. Assessment of stresses in the cervical spine caused by posture and position of the head. Surg. Technol. Int. 25, 277e279.

18. Hyeseon Han, Gwanseob Shin. Head flexion angle when webbrowsing and texting using a smartphone while walking. Applied Ergonomics. 2019; 81: 102884

19. Lee, S., Kang, H., Shin, G., 2015. Head flexion angle while using a smartphone. Ergonomics 58 (2), 220–226.

20. Schabrun, S.M., van den Hoorn, W., Moorcroft, A., Greenland, C., Hodges, P.W., 2014.Texting and walking: strategies for postural control and implications for safety. PLoSOne 9 (1), e84312.

21. Lee J, Song H. The Correlation Analysis between Hours of Smartphone Use And Neck Pain in the Gachon University Students. The Acupuncture. 2014;31(2):99-109.

22. Kim H, Kim J. The relationship between smartphone use and subjective musculoskeletal symptoms and university students. Journal of Physical Therapy Science. 2015;27(3):575-579.

23. Namwongsa S, Puntumetakul R, Neubert M, Boucaut R. Factors associated with neck disorders among university student smartphone users. Work. 2018;61(3):367-378.

24. Kutty NAM. Text neck: a global epidemic of the modern era. MOJ Yoga Physical Ther. 2019;4(1):14-16. DOI:10.15406/mojypt.2019.04.00060

25. Vate-U-Lan P. Neck Epidemic: a Growing Problem for Smart Phone Users in Thailand. International Journal of the Computer, the Internet and Management (IJCIM). 2016;23(3):55.1-55.6.

26. Samani P, Athavale N, Shyam A, Sancheti P. Awareness of text neck syndrome in young-adult population. International Journal Of Community Medicine And Public Health. 2018;5(8):3335.

27. Khattak S, Gul M, Kakar HA, Ullah G, Rahman M. Prevalence and awareness of text neck syndrome & addiction to smartphones in Doctor of Physical Therapy students of Peshawar. Ann Allied Health Sci.2020; 6(1):32-37.

28. Aljomaa SS, Qudah MFA, Albursan IS, Bakhiet SF, Abduljabbar AS. Smartphone addiction among university students in the light of some variables. Computers in Human Behavior. 2016;61:155-64.

29. Medani KET, Ahmad MS, Sami W, et al. Perspective, awareness and behaviour towards text-neck among medical students of Majmaah university - a cross-sectional study. J Evolution Med Dent Sci 2021;10(05):294-298, DOI: 10.14260/jemds/2021/65

30. Akshaya R and, Mohanraj KG. Knowledge, perception, and awareness of text neck syndrome among young adults South Indian population. Drug Invention Today. 2019; 12(9):1980-82

31. Kim HJ, Kim JS. The relationship between smartphone use and subjective musculoskeletal symptoms and university students. J Physical Therapy Sci. 2015;27(3):575-9

32. Bader KA, Santosh RP. Mobile phone head and neck pain syndrome: proposal of a new entity. OHDM 2015;14(5):313-7.

33. Malik A, Pasha MU, Khalid S, Ahmad A, Gilani SA. Prevalence of neck pain among Undergraduate students of Lahore. Int J Scientific Engineering Res. 2017;8(10):569-76.

34. Blair B, Gama M, Toberman M. Prevalence and risk factors for neck and shoulder musculoskeletal symptoms in users of touch-

screen tablet computers. UNLV Theses, Dissertations, Professional Papers, and Capstones, University Libraries, 2015. 35. Shan Z, Deng G, Li J, et al. Correlational analysis of neck/shoulder pain and low back pain with the use of digital

products, physical activity, and psychological status among adolescents in Shanghai. PLoS One 2013;8(10):e78109.

36. Vate-U-Lan P. Text neck epidemic: a growing problem for smart phone users in Thailand. The Twelfth International Conference on eLearning for Knowledge-Based Society, 2015 December 17.

Source of Support: Nil.

Conflict of Interest: None Declared.

Copyright: © the author(s) and publisher. IJMRP is an official publication of Ibn Sina Academy of Medieval Medicine & Sciences, registered in 2001 under Indian Trusts Act, 1882.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Cite this article as: Majed Alghamdi, Amal Mohammed Alharthi, Shaza Omar Alorabi, Abeer Muidh Alzahrani, Maha Glayel Almalki. Awareness of Text Neck Syndrome Among Clinical Years Medical Students At King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah. Int J Med Res Prof. 2021 Nov; 7(6): 1-10. DOI:10.21276/ijmrp.2021.7.6.001