

# Evaluation of Etiologic Profile of Liver Cirrhosis Patients: A Clinical Study

## Sushil Suri

## Associate Professor, Department of General Medicine, Career Institute of Medical Sciences and Hospital, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India.

#### ABSTRACT

**Objective:** Cirrhosis is defined as the histological development of regenerative nodules surrounded by fibrous bands in response to chronic liver injury that leads to portal hypertension and end stage liver disease. Hence, we planned the present study to assess the etiologic profile of liver cirrhosis patients.

**Materials & Methods:** The present study was conducted in the department of General Medicine, Career Institute of Medical Sciences and Hospital, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh (India) and included assessment etiologic profile of liver cirrhosis patients. A detailed history was taken and a questionnaire was administered. The questionnaire enquired into various risk factors which included the following: history of alcohol consumption including amount and duration, CAGE score, history of blood transfusions, surgeries, needle prick injury, past history of jaundice and high-risk sexual behaviour. All the results were analyzed by SPSS software.

**Results:** Statistically significant results were obtained while comparing the various etiologic agents in liver cirrhotic patients.

#### INTRODUCTION

Cirrhosis is defined as the histological development of regenerative nodules surrounded by fibrous bands in response to chronic liver injury, that leads to portal hypertension and end stage liver disease.<sup>1-3</sup> Recent advances in the understanding of the natural history and pathophysiology of cirrhosis, and in treatment of its complications, resulting in improved management, quality of life and life expectancy of cirrhotic patients. At present, liver transplantation remains the only curative option for a selected group of patients, but pharmacological therapies that can halt progression to decompensated cirrhosis or even reverse cirrhosis are currently being developed.<sup>4-8</sup> Hence, we planned the present study to assess the etiologic profile of liver cirrhosis patients.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted in the department of General Medicine, Career Institute of Medical Sciences and Hospital, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh (India) and included assessment etiologic profile of liver cirrhosis patients. Ethical approval was taken from institutional ethical committee and written consent was obtained from all the patients after explaining in detail the entire research protocol. Detailed clinical and demographic details of all the subjects were recorded. History of diabetes, hypertension and

**Conclusion:** Alcohol is the most common etiologic agent responsible for causing liver cirrhosis.

Keywords: Alcohol, Cirrhosis, Etiology.

#### \*Correspondence to:

Dr Sushil Suri

MD(Med) Associate Professor,

Department of General Medicine,

Career Institute of Medical Sciences and Hospital,

Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India.

### Article History:

Received: 03-11-2017, Revised: 29-11-2017, Accepted: 27-12-2017

Access this article online			
Website: www.ijmrp.com	Quick Response code		
DOI: 10.21276/ijmrp.2018.4.1.029			

other systemic illness were also recorded. A detailed history was taken and a questionnaire was administered. The questionnaire enquired into various risk factors which included the following: history of alcohol consumption including amount and duration, CAGE score, history of blood transfusions, surgeries, needle prick injury, past history of jaundice and high-risk sexual behaviour. A detailed clinical examination was performed and recorded in a proforma. For each patient, the following laboratory parameters were measured: haemogram, liver function tests, renal function tests and blood glucose. Viral markers like HBsAg and anti- HCV antibody by immunochromatographic rapid card test were done in each patient. All the results were recorded on Microsoft excel sheet and were assessed by SPSS software version 17.0. chi-square test was used for assessment of level of significance. P-value of less than 0.05 was taken as significant.

#### RESULTS

A total of 80 patients with liver cirrhosis were included in the present study. Out of 80, 80% of the patients were males and remaining 20% were females. Statistically significant results were obtained while comparing the various etiologic agents in liver cirrhotic patients (P- value < 0.05).

Sushil Suri. Evaluation of Etiologic Profile of Liver Cirrhosis Patients

Parameter		Frequency	Percentage		
Gender F	emale	16		20.0	
Male		64		80.0	
Total		80	100.0		
Tab	le 2: Comparison of e	etiologic profile in o	cirrhotic patien	ts	
Parameter	Observed N	Expected N	Residual	p- value	
Alashal	24	10.0	14.0	0.00*	
Alcohol	24	10.0	14.0	0.00*	
NASH	5	10.0	-5.0	0.00*	
				0.00*	
NASH	5	10.0	-5.0	0.00	
NASH Hepatitis C	5 7	10.0 10.0	-5.0 -3.0	0.00*	

Table 1: Distribution	of subjects	according to	o gender
-----------------------	-------------	--------------	----------

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we observed that out of 40 subjects, alcohol was responsible for causing liver cirrhosis in 24 subjects. Significant results were obtained while comparing the etiologic profile of cirrhosis. Sharma B et al evaluated epidemiological data on the etiological profile of cirrhosis of the liver in adults in a tertiary care hospital in a northern hilly state of Western Himalayas. A hospital based, cross sectional, observational study was conducted in the department of Medicine and Gastroenterology in a tertiary care centre of Himachal Pradesh, located in northern India from 1st June, 2012 to 31st May, 2013. In total, 178 patients who were diagnosed with cirrhosis on the basis of history, physical examination, biochemistry and radiology, and of age >18 years were included in the study. Detailed history, examination and investigations were carried out in each case as per protocol. Alcohol was the leading cause of cirrhosis in our study (62.9%), hepatitis B was the second(10.1%), Non-Alcoholic Steatohepatitis (NASH) was the third (7.9%), and autoimmune the fourth (3.9%) most common cause for cirrhosis. Hepatitis C was present in 2.8% of patients as a cause of cirrhosis. Wilson disease and cardiac cirrhosis were present in one patient each. In 9.6% the etiology was cryptogenic. The study identified alcohol as the leading cause of cirrhosis among people in the state. Measures for taking care of preventable risk factors are desired.9

Ahmed et al evaluated the etiological profile and seroprevalence of anti HAV IgG in cirrhosis of liver patients. Patients and Methods: 160 hospitalized adult cases of decompensated cirrhosis of liver and 200 healthy controls were assessed for etiology and their anti-HAV IgG status by commercially available kits. Results: Most common cause of cirrhosis of liver in our region is ethanol related. 95% of cases and 89% of controls were seropositive for anti-HAV IgG (P = 0,181, insignificant difference). All cases above the age of 40 years were seropositive. Seroprevalence between sexes (M 97% and F 83.3%) was statistically insignificant. Only age showed a high coefficient of correlation (r = 0.854, statistically significant, P < 0.001). Conclusion: Alcohol is the most common etiology of cirrhosis of liver in north-east India. Socio-cultural milieu in our part may play a role with alcohol contributing to a major but preventable heath burden. Anti-HAV vaccination in our setting is not indicated routinely to cirrhosis of liver patients as it will not be cost-effective. However, young cirrhotics should be screened for anti-HAV antibody and if negative, may be offered vaccination.<sup>10</sup> Mukherjee PS et al reported a prospective, multicentric study to delineate the

etiology and clinical profile of chronic liver disease in India. Eleven hospitals from different parts of India participated. Data were uploaded into a web based proforma and monitored by a single centre according to a standardized protocol. 1.28% (n = 266621) of all patients (n = 20701383) attending the eleven participating hospitals of India had liver disease. 65807 (24.68%) were diagnosed for the first time (new cases). Of these, 13014 (19.77%, median age 43 years, 73% males) cases of chronic liver disease were finally analyzed. 33.9% presented with decompensated cirrhosis. Alcoholism (34.3% of 4413) was the commonest cause of cirrhosis while Hepatitis B (33.3%) was predominant cause of chronic liver disease in general and noncirrhotic chronic liver disease (40.8% out of 8163). There was significant interregional differences (hepatitis C in North, hepatitis B in East and South, alcohol in North-east, Non-alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in West) in the predominant cause of chronic liver disease. Hepatitis B (46.8% of 438 cases) was the commonest cause of hepatocellular Cancer.11.7% had diabetes. Observations of our study will help guide a contextually relevant liver care policy for India and could serve as a framework for similar endeavor in other developing countries as well.11

Dezortova M et al assessed the functional status and etiology of liver cirrhosis by quantitative 31P magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS). A total of 80 patients with liver cirrhosis of different etiology and functional status described by Child-Pugh score were examined and compared to 11 healthy volunteers. MR examination was performed on a 1.5 T imager using a 1H/31P surface coil by the 2D chemical shift imaging technique. Absolute concentrations of phosphomonoesters (PME), phosphodiesters (PDE), inorganic phosphate (Pi) and adenosine triphosphate (ATP) were measured. MRS changes reflected the degree of liver dysfunction in all the patients as well as in individual etiological groups. The most important change was a decrease of PDE. It was possible to distinguish alcoholic, viral and cholestatic etiologies based on MR spectra. Alcoholic and viral etiology differed in PDE and ATP from the control group. Unlike viral etiology, patients with alcoholic etiology also differed in Pi from controls. No significant changes were found in patients with cholestatic disease and controls; nevertheless, this group differed from both alcoholic and viral groups in PDE. 31P MRS can significantly help in non-invasive separation of different etiological groups leading to liver cirrhosis. In addition, MRS changes reflect functional liver injury.12

## CONCLUSION

From the above results, alcohol is the most common etiologic agent responsible for causing liver cirrhosis. However; we recommend future studies.

## REFERENCES

1. Bircher J, Benhamou JP, McIntyre N, Rizzetto M, Rodes J, editors. Oxford Textbook of Clinical Hepatology. 2nd Edition Oxford University Press; 1999.

2. Sherlock S, Dooley J, editors. Diseases of the Liver and Biliary System. 11th Edition Blackwell Science; Oxford, UK; Malden, MA: 2002.

3. Schiff ER, Sorrell MF, Maddrey EC, editors. Schiff's Diseases of the Liver. 9th Edition Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins; Philadelphia: 2003.

4. Schaffner H, Popper H. Capillarization of the sinusoids. Gastroenterology. 1963;44:339–42.

5. Desmet VJ, Roskams T. Cirrhosis reversal: a duel between dogma and myth. J Hepatol. 2004;40:860–7.

6. Wanless IR, Nakashima E, Sherman M. Regression of human cirrhosis. Morphologic features and the genesis of incomplete septal cirrhosis. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2000;124:1599–607.

7. Digestive diseases in the United States: Epidemiology and Impact. NIDDK; Bethesda, MD:1994. NIH Publication No.94-1447.

8. National Center for Health Statistics. US Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; Hyattsville, MD: 2005. (Series 13).

9. Sharma B et al. A study on the etiology of cirrhosis of liver in adults living in the Hills of Himachal Pradesh, India. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.7869/tg.317.

10. Ahmed, et al.: Only young cirrhotics in North-East India are at risk of ACLF due to Hepatitis A infection. Oncology, Gastroenterology and Hepatology Reports; Jan-Jun 2015; 4(1).

11. Mukherjee PS, Vishnubhatla S, Amarapurkar DN, et al. Etiology and mode of presentation of chronic liver diseases in India: A multi centric study. Ray R, ed. PLoS ONE. 2017; 12(10): e0187033.

12. Dezortova M, Taimr P, Skoch A, Spicak J, Hajek M. Etiology and functional status of liver cirrhosis by 31P MR spectroscopy. World Journal of Gastroenterology. 2005;11(44):6926-6931.

Source of Support: Nil. Conflict of Interest: None Declared.

**Copyright:** © the author(s) and publisher. IJMRP is an official publication of Ibn Sina Academy of Medieval Medicine & Sciences, registered in 2001 under Indian Trusts Act, 1882.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Cite this article as:** Sushil Suri. Evaluation of Etiologic Profile of Liver Cirrhosis Patients: A Clinical Study. Int J Med Res Prof. 2018 Jan; 4(1):148-50. DOI:10.21276/ijmrp.2018.4.1.029