Acute Pancreatitis Induced by Intragastric Balloon
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ABSTRACT

Intragastric balloon has been shown to result in substantial weight reduction in patients with morbid obesity. However, it has some complications mostly related to the pressure effect and balloon rupture. We present a rare case of pancreatitis induced by insertion of intragastric balloon into a 20 years old female. These cases, although rare should be kept in mind when investigating patients with intragastric balloons.
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INTRODUCTION

Obesity is considered as a serious public health epidemic problem all over the world and accompanied by adverse impacts on morbidity, mortality and quality of life of affected persons. Its treatment include dietary programs, exercising, medical therapy, endoscopic procedures and surgery. Medical treatment has been reported to be ineffective in the long-term treatment. Intragastric balloon has been shown to result in substantial weight reduction through generating a sense of fullness and reduces the volume available for food. However, it has some complications, mostly related to the pressure effect and balloon rupture, including abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, and discomfort. Acute pancreatitis is a rare complication of intragastric balloon as a result of compression of the pancreas by intra-gastric balloon. In this report, we present a rare case of acute pancreatitis complicating the insertion of intragastric balloon for female patient with morbid obesity.

CASE PRESENTATION

A 20 years old female known case of hypothyroidism on thyroxin 75 mcg with past history of intra gastric balloon insertion on 16/9/2015 for weight reduction purposes which was fluid filled balloon. She presented to our Emergency department (ER) on 2/11/2015 complaining of sever epigastric pain radiating to back associated with nausea and vomiting aggravated by eating relieving by sitting down and leaning forward for one day. On examination, the patient was conscious oriented not pale or jaundiced, looked in pain with normal vital signs. Abdominal examination showed mild epigastric tenderness otherwise soft lax abdomen.

On investigations, serum glucose was 82 mg/dl (normal values 65-110 mg/dl) serum urea was 20 mg/dl (normal values 23-43 mg/dl), creatinine line was 0.7 mg/dl (normal values 0.8-1.3 mg/dl), sodium level was 137 mEq/L (normal values 135-145 mEq/L), potassium level was 4.3 mEq/L (normal values 3.5-5 mEq/L), total bilirubin was 0.2 mg/dl (normal values 0.3-1 mg/dl), direct bilirubin was 0.1 mg/dl (normal values 0-0.4 mg/dl), alkaline phosphatase was 77 U/L (normal values 30-100 U/L), gamma-glutamyl transferase was 30 U/L (normal values 5-50 U/L), amylase was 1328 U/L (normal values 30-125 U/L), SGPT was 24 (normal values 5-30 U/L), SGOT was 20 U/L (normal values 5-30 U/L) hemoglobin level was 13.4 g/dl (normal values 12-15 g/dl), WBC was 10.6 x 10^9/L (normal values 4-10 x 10^9/L), and platelet count was 405 x 10^9/L (normal values 150-400 x 10^9/L). Ultrasound showed normal visualized gall bladder and biliary tree with no evidence of stones or sludge. The gastric balloon visualized filled fluid echogenicity material. Pancreas not visualized with horseshoe kidneys. No other abnormality detected.

CT showed the tail of pancreas was mildly enlarged with surrounding fat stranding and normal pancreatic duct with normal gall bladder and biliary tree. Gastric balloon in the stomach and horse show kidneys were evident. No other abnormality detected. (Figure 1) Then the patient was managed conservatively as non-biliary pancreatitis and improved gradually then discharged in good condition. After about 6 months on 30/4/2016, the patient presented to our ER with similar symptoms and signs. Also she gave us a history of similar attack in between these two attacks on 14/12/2015, but she was away from the hospital so she didn’t seek medical care and manage herself with analgesia and diet restriction then she improved. Her investigation were as follows; Glucose: 108 mg/dl, urea: 21 mg/dl, creatinine: 0.7 mg/dl, sodium: 131 mEq/L, potassium: 3.8 mEq/L, total bilirubin: 0.5 mg/dl, direct bilirubin: 0.1 mg/dl, SGPT: 23 U/L, SGOT: 15 U/L, alkaline phosphatase: 80 U/L, amylase: 923 U/L, gamma-glutamyl transaminase: 33 U/L, hemoglobin: 12.3 g/dl, WBC: 12.3 x 10^9/L, and platelet count: 307 x 10^9/L.
Ultrasound was done again and showed normal GB with no evidence of stones or sludge. Gastric balloon was in place. No other abnormality was detected.

Patient admitted again as non-biliary pancreatitis then we started thinking about relationship between the intragastric balloon and pancreatitis and we found some cases reported about that then we discussed the issue with the patient and the family and they agreed to remove the balloon and it was removed on 3/5/2016. Patient improved after that and discharged in good condition and followed after that for more than one year till 10/6/2017 and she was doing very well taking normal diet not complaining of any symptoms.

Figure 1: CT showed the tail of pancreas was mildly enlarged with surrounding fat stranding and normal pancreatic duct with normal gall bladder and biliary tree. Gastric balloon in the stomach and horse show kidneys were evident.

DISCUSSION

Some researchers have evaluated the clinical efficacy and safety of the intragastric balloon as a non-surgical method for the management of morbid obesity. Overall, it is commonly utilized procedure as it is less invasive and cheaper if compared to surgical therapy and more effective if compared to medical therapy. Some of the studies were done on the efficacy of intragastric balloon. Some showed meaningful results in the form of reduction tool, despite few rare serious complication reports including pancreatitis. Therefore, it is necessary to keep these patients under close follow up and expect and identify these complications early to avoid a dramatic outcome.

Although intragastric balloon is considered safe, it has some rare complications which are mostly tolerable by patients such as nausea, vomiting, abdominal cramps that occur mainly during the first week after insertion. Some other complications were reported such as gastric perforation, bowel obstruction, gastroduodenitis, exacerbation of the ulcer, and worsening reflux oesophagitis. Genco et al. reported an overall rate of complication related to intragastric balloon insertion of 2.8%.

Few cases worldwide including the present case reported pancreatitis as a complication of insertion of intragastric balloon. The pressure of the balloon on the pancreas was described as a reason of pancreatitis in most cases. This is most probably what happened also in the current case as removal of the balloon led to improvement of all patients’ symptoms. The same has been reported by Öztürk et al. and Mohammed who reported in addition, an improvement of amylase and CRP values.
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